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Climate change and the connected global warming count among the most frequently discussed topics of today. The 4th Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) states that ‘most of the observed increase in global average temperatures since the mid-20th century is very likely due to the observed increase in anthropogenic concentrations of greenhouse gases’. Carbon dioxide (CO₂), the most important greenhouse gas, is emitted into the atmosphere, among others, as a product of fossil fuels combustion. 80% of anthropogenic emissions of CO₂ come from big stationary point sources like power plants, steel mills, cement works or refineries.

By signing the Kyoto protocol the European Union countries committed themselves to decrease their CO₂ emissions by 8% within the 2008-2012 period, compared with the base year (usually 1990). For the next stage of the Kyoto mechanism after 2012, further cuts can be expected, starting from the 20% value. The goal to considerably decrease CO₂ emissions can be achieved using a combination of several more or less viable measures that can be divided into four main categories:

• Increasing energy efficiency of the economy and related energy savings;
• Increasing the share of renewable energy in the energy mix;
• Increasing the share of nuclear energy in the energy mix;
• CO₂ capture and storage (CCS).

According to many analyses and studies, both the world and European economies will remain dependent on fossil fuels during the next decades. Hence, it is highly probable that the CO₂ emissions will be still growing in the near future. To be able to achieve a stoppage of this growth, it is absolutely obvious that all of the four types of measures stated above will have to be applied, including CCS.

The CCS technology grounds in capture of the carbon dioxide produced by fossil fuel combustion (for power production, cement, steel, etc.), its transport to a storage site and its safe storage in deep geological structures. At present, CCS technologies are high on the agenda both worldwide and in the European Union. Clear evidences of this statement are e.g. the EC Communication “Sustainable power generation from fossil fuels: aiming for near-zero emissions from coal after 2020”, envisaging massive implementation of the CCS technology in Europe in near future, or the proposal for a European Directive on the geological storage of carbon dioxide from 23 January 2008.

Implementation of the CCS technology in Europe is the main goal of the European Technology Platform on Zero-Emission Fossil Fuel Power Plants (ETP ZEP), a grouping initiated by the European Commission and associating big industrial enterprises, research & development institutions as well as environmental non-governmental organisations. Its European Flagship Programme aims at construction and operation by 2015 of up to 12 demonstration power plants equipped with the CCS technology. This should be the first stage on the way towards commercial application of CCS in Europe around 2020.

Research & development in the area of CCS was one of the priorities of 6th European Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration activities (FP6), as well as of its successor – FP7 - that has been launched in 2007. In new EU Member States and Associated Candidate Countries, the pioneering work was done within the CASTOR and EU GeoCapacity FP6 projects where the CO₂ geological storage potential of these countries has been assessed, in relation to the distribution of big stationary emission sources and within wide pan-European international cooperation.

On 1 October 2006, the international CO₂NET EAST project has been started, a FP6 Co-ordination Action funded by the European Commission. The main goal of the project is CCS networking extension to new EU Member States and Associate Candidate Countries, the pioneering work was done within the CASTOR and EU GeoCapacity FP6 projects where the CO₂ geological storage potential of these countries has been assessed, in relation to the distribution of big stationary emission sources and within wide pan-European international cooperation.

On 1 October 2006, the international CO₂NET EAST project has been started, a FP6 Co-ordination Action funded by the European Commission. The main goal of the project is CCS networking extension to new EU Member States and Associate Candidate Countries. Principal project activities include building of general awareness concerning CCS technology capabilities in the countries involved as well as dissemination of knowledge and know-how coming from the main research & development projects in Europe. Project implementation is a task of a consortium consisting of 7 R&D institutions from new EU Member States and Associate Candidate Countries and StatoilHydro, the Norwegian industry partner.

This special issue of the Slovak Geological Magazine is a joint dissemination activity of CO₂NET EAST project partners and associated partners. We are grateful to our Slovak colleagues of the Dionýz Šúr State Geological Institute for enabling us to prepare this special “CO₂” issue, as well as to the European Commission and our project sponsors - Shell International Renewables, StatoilHydro, Schlumberger Carbon Services, ENEL (Main Sponsors) and ALSTOM Power, Total, Vattenfall and BP Alternative Energy (Sponsors) - for co-financing the CO₂NET EAST project and its activities.

Vít Hladík
CO₂NET EAST project co-ordinator
hladik@gfb.cz

For more information on CO₂NET EAST visit http://co2neteast.energnet.com.
CO₂ geological storage and mineral trapping potential in the Baltic region
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Abstract. Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania have strong economic links and coordinated environmental policy. Furthermore, they are situated within the same geological unit, the Baltic Sedimentary cover. It urges the elaboration of the common approach in managing the CO₂ emissions, in particular as regards the geological sequestration. The smallest among three countries Estonia with 1.3 mln. population produces the highest CO₂ emissions and is among the highest CO₂ producers per capita in Europe, mainly due to oil shale used for energy production. Geological conditions in three countries are rather different, resulting in zero potential for CO₂ geological storage (CGS) in Estonia located at the shallowest part of the Baltic sedimentary basin, low CGS potential in Lithuania located at the deepest part of the basin and high CGS potential of Latvia that contains large uplifts as the potential traps for CO₂ storage. Alternative approaches are suggested for Estonia and Lithuania, focusing on the mineral trapping of CO₂. The immobilization of CO₂ by the alkaline watered ash and ash transportation water from flue gas formed by shale combustion is a prospective option in Estonia. The serpentinites abundant in the crystalline basement is a prospective media for CO₂ immobilization in Lithuania. The other option for Estonia and Lithuania is a transportation of CO₂ to the Latvian structural traps for geological storage.

Key words: industrial CO₂ emissions, CO₂ geological storage, mineral trapping, serpentinites, oil shales, ash

1. Introduction

Global annual CO₂ emissions have grown from 21 gigatonnes (Gt) in 1970 to 38 Gt in 2004 (IPCC, 2007). The measures have to be taken urgently for reduction of emissions. The carbon management consists of a broad portfolio of strategies to reduce carbon emissions via carbon capture and storage in the geological formations, enhanced efficiency of power generation and use, application of low carbon fuels and the employment of renewable energy sources (Lokhorst & Wildenborg, 2005).

According to the Kyoto protocol signed by the Baltic countries in 2002, the level of air-polluting greenhouse gases emissions should be reduced by 8% during the commitment period 2008-2012 compared to the 1990 level. Post Kyoto Targets published in a European Strategic Energy Plan “Towards a low carbon future” aimed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 20% to 2020 and to reduce GHG emissions by 60-80% by 2050. Compared to 1990 the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions decreased in Baltic countries for more than 50% (Table 1). However, the changing energy market (e.g. closure of the Ignalina NPP) and increasing industrial growth urge to evaluate different options of reducing CO₂ emissions, including the assessment of the potential of geological sinks and mineral trapping. In 2006 all three Baltic countries started inventory of their CO₂ industrial sources, infrastructure and geological capacity in the framework of EU GEOCAPACITY project supported by EU Framework Programme 6 (Shogenova et al, 2007, 2008, Šliaupa et al, 2008).

The geological setting of the Baltic States is rather different from that of the other European countries that comprise a number of small sedimentary basins, while Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia are situated within one common Baltic sedimentary basin. Therefore, a joint study is required for the assessment of geological sinks. The source types and emissions differ considerably in the Baltic countries, depending on the socio-economic conditions. The main energy and CO₂ emission in Estonia comes from oil shale combustion, while CO₂ emissions in Lithuania and Latvia are significantly lower due to the utilisation of other main energy sources (nuclear and hydro-energy) and imported energy by Latvia from other countries.

Reducing CO₂ emissions using mineral sequestration involves the reaction of CO₂ with minerals to form geologically stable carbonates (Goldberg et al, 2000). Carbonation of the naturally occurring silicate minerals, such as serpentine and olivine, provides CO₂ storage capacity on a geological time scale. One of the advantages of this method is that magnesium and calcium carbonates are already plentiful in nature and are difficult to dissolve (Lackner & Ziock, 2000). Another option of
mineral trapping is using of alkaline wastes, which are available in relatively large amounts and often rich in Ca and Mg. Such ash is formed during combustion of fossil fuels such as coal (Uliasz-Bochenczyk et al, 2007) and oil-shale (Kuusik et al, 2001), and also by other industries such as steel industry, municipal solid-waste incineration ashes (Costa et al, 2007), paper-produced industry ash, medical solid-waste incinerator ash (Bacicocchi et al, 2006), etc. CO₂ mineral trapping by waste products could be performed ex-situ and in-situ (Geerdermann et al, 2004).

The fastest mineral carbonation process could be achieved in reactors using high temperature and pressures and concentrated CO₂. For commercial use of such reactors, mining, crushing and milling of the mineral-bearing ores and their transport to a processing plant would be required. Concentrated CO₂ stream will come to reactor from a capture plant (IPCC report, 2005). In case of the ash, reactor could be filled with the ash and alkaline transportation water collected at the same plant where CO₂ is captured. At this case process needs extra energy for reactor, but there will be no costs for ash and CO₂ transportation.

2. DISTRIBUTION AND TYPES OF STATIONARY CO₂ SOURCES

In 1990 (reference year of the Kyoto Protocol) the Baltic countries produced 48 Mt of GHG emissions in CO₂ equivalents in Lithuania, 42.6 Mt in Estonia and 26.4 Mt in Latvia. The emissions have reduced considerably since this reference year, due to large-scale socio-economic rearrangements. In 2006 these emissions were considerably lower, reduced for 56% in Latvia, 53% in Lithuania and 48.5% in Estonia compared to the amount of CO₂ in the reference year (Table 1). However, GHG emissions have increased systematically since 1999-2000 owing to economical growth (1, 3, Rimša et al, 2007). Significant increase in emissions is forecasted in Lithuania and Latvia due to the planned closure of the Ignalina NPP in 2009 and construction of new power plants using coal and gas combustion. Compared to 2005 the industrial CO₂ emissions increased by 3 Mt in Estonia in 2007.

The largest GHG emissions in the Baltic countries is produced by energy sector (Table 2), while contribution from other sectors is much less significant (1-4, Rimša et al, 2007, 2008). In 2007 twenty-two large sources exceeding 100 000 tonnes/year (Fig. 1, Table 3) produced 14.5 Mt of CO₂ in Estonia, 4.8 Mt in Lithuania and 1.9 Mt in Latvia. In Estonia CO₂ emissions per capita amounting 14.1 tonnes in 2004 are one of the highest in Europe (Table 1). For the sake of comparison it should be noted that in 2004 average CO₂ emissions per capita was 7.7 tonnes in Europe.

The high GHG emission rate in Estonia results basically from the use of oil shale for power production. Main CO₂ sources are located in the northeast of the country, close to the oil-shale deposits. The largest CO₂ sources in the Baltic countries are “Estonian” and “Baltic” electric power stations producing respectively 9.4 and 2.7 Mt of CO₂ in 2007. The Kunda Nordic cement plant produced 1.17 Mt of CO₂ in 2007 (0.746 Mt of CO₂ in 2005) (Fig.1) The other concentration of CO₂ sources occurs in the Tallinn region.

In Latvia, the main CO₂ producers are located in the western part of the country. In 2007 the Liepaja metallurgical enterprise emitted 0.356 Mt of CO₂ and three electric power stations in the Riga area emitted 0.567, 0.386 and 0.23 Mt of CO₂ that is close to volumes of 2005.

There are two CO₂ source clusters in Lithuania, situated in the north-western and south-eastern part of the country. The greatest GHG producer the Mažeikiai oil refinery decreased the emissions from 1.87 Mt in 2005 to 1.20 Mt of CO₂ in 2007, while the other largest source the Akmene cement plant increased CO₂ production from 0.78 Mt in 2005 up to 1.09 Mt in 2007.

3. PROSPECTIVE CO₂ STORAGE OPTIONS

The Baltic countries are situated in the eastern part of the Baltic sedimentary basin that overlies the western periphery of the East European Craton (Fig. 2). The basin contains the Upper Vendian and all of the Phanerozoic systems as a result of protracted subsidence history. The thickness of the sediments is less than 100 m in northern Estonia, increasing to 1900 m in south-western Latvia and 2300 m in western Lithuania (Paškevičius, 1997). The Baltic basin contains several major aquifers that are viewed as prospective media for the storage of
Table 1 Total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and CO₂ emissions per capita.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total GHG emissions</th>
<th>CO₂ emissions per capita</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In CO₂ equivalents, million tonnes</td>
<td>Reduction compared to 1990, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Share of sectors (%) in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emissions</th>
<th>Estonia</th>
<th>Latvia</th>
<th>Lithuania</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy (fuel combustion and emissions from fuels in all sectors, including transport)</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel combustion in transport</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial processes</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 CO₂ emissions registered in European Union Emission Trading System (EU ETS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Large CO₂ sources(&gt;100 thousand tonnes) registered in EU ETS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Million tonnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All registered in EU ETS industrial sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CO₂ emissions, million tonnes</th>
<th>Number of sources of verified emissions</th>
<th>EU ETS share in total GHG emissions</th>
<th>CO₂ emissions, million tonnes</th>
<th>Number of sources of verified emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CO₂. However, a prospective media should meet certain requirements, among which the most important are the large volume of the reservoir, suitable depth and temperature, and presence of a reliable seal (including structural tightness). Neither coal nor salt deposits are present in the sedimentary cover.

Deep saline aquifers are by far the most accepted proposal for large-scale CO₂ storage. The high water salinity renders these layers unsuitable for use as drinking water or for watering plants. Depending on the formation pressure and temperature, CO₂ can be stored either as high-density gas phase or in a supercritical state (P > 73.8 bars, T > 31°C).

The Baltic basin contains also a number of oil fields related to Cambrian siliciclastic and Orдовician and Silurian carbonaceous reservoirs. Therefore, the storage of CO₂ in the depleted oilfields and the EOR option are considered as the potential technology in the Baltic area.

Oil fields are exploited in west Lithuania, Kaliningrad District and offshore Poland. In Lithuania, oil fields
oil fields of west Lithuania does not exceed 2 Mt of CO₂ with the total storage potential estimated to be around 7 Mt of CO₂, which is just a little more than the annual stationary CO₂ emissions of the country (Sliaupa et al., 2008).

Another option is the utilisation of carbon dioxide for enhancement of the oil recovery (Crawford et al., 1963). Most of the oil fields have reached the tail phase and EOR can prolong the lifetime of those oil fields. The oil is light and exceeds 35 API (Zdanavičiūtė, O., Sakauskas, 2001) meaning that CO₂ could be injected in miscible conditions - a favourable factor for CO₂ sequestration. The estimated total EOR net volume of CO₂ is 5.7 Mt (Sliaupa et al., 2008).

4. DEEP SALINE AQUIFERS

4.1. Potential aquifers

Only two large aquifers of the Baltic sedimentary basin meet requirements listed above, i.e. the Lower-Middle Devonian (Pärnu-Kemeri formations) and Middle Cambrian aquifers buried to depths exceeding 800 m (Fig. 3-5).

The Cambrian reservoir is distributed in all Baltic countries. The depth varies from outcrops in Estonia to more than 2 km in west Lithuania (Fig. 3, 4). The depth of the reservoir exceeds 800 m in west Latvia, west Lithuania, north Poland, and in the Baltic offshore, while the aquifer beneath Estonia is shallower than the limit of the supercritical state of CO₂. The reservoir is composed of quartz sandstones with subordinate siltstones and shales. The thickness of the aquifer is in the range of
20-70 m. Due to considerable variations in depth and temperature, the porosity of sandstones changes dramatically across the basin, from 20-30% in the northern and eastern shallow part of the basin to less than 5% in the central and western parts of the basin (Šliaupa et al., 2003). The Middle Cambrian aquifer is sealed by a 500-900 m thick Ordovician-Silurian shale caprock.

The Pärnu-Kemeri aquifer lies in the central part of the basin (Fig. 5). Its depth exceeds 800 m in west Lithuania and the south-eastern part of the Baltic Sea. The aquifer is composed of arkosic sandstones containing 20–37% of siltstone and shale layers (Šliaupa et al., 2005). Average porosity of sandstones is 26%; permeability is in the range of 0.5–4 D. Total thickness of the aquifer varies from 100 to 160 m in west Lithuania. The aquifer is covered by 80–120 m thick marlstones attributed to the Narva Formation constituting a basin-scale aquitard.

Upon injection into saline aquifers, carbon dioxide may be stored by (1) hydrodynamic (structural) trapping, (2) solubility trapping (carbon dioxide dissolved in aquifer water), (3) residual trapping, and (4) mineral trapping. Solubility and mineral trapping are the most important long-term solutions to carbon dioxide sequestration in geological media. However, these processes involve larger proportion of injected CO2 only 100 years after the injection, whereas hydrodynamic trapping becomes effective immediately and can be compared to existing natural analogues.

4.2. Structural trapping potential

Sixteen major structures, with estimated storage capacity 5.4–58.3 Mt CO2, have been identified in west Latvia (Fig.6, Geological Structures, 2007), while only small-scale uplifts were identified in Lithuania (Šliaupa et al., 2005). The storage capacity of a structural trap was estimated:

\[ M_{CO2} = A \times h \times \phi \times \rho_{CO2} \times S, \]

where \( M_{CO2} \) is the storage capacity (kg), \( A \) is the area of a closure (m), \( h \) is the net thickness of reservoir sandstones (m) (typically is 20-40 m in Latvia and Lithuania), \( \phi \) is...
4.3. Solubility trapping

The solubility trapping is not restricted to particular structures. The solubility of CO₂ ranges from 2% to 6%, depending on the brine salinity, temperature, and pressure (Bachu & Adams, 2003). However, the large volume of a regional-scale aquifer provides an attractive alternative for CO₂ disposal. The solution time is of order of 10²-10³ years, which is considerably longer than the hydrodynamic trapping process (Ennis-King et al, 2003). Before dissolving, the CO₂ phase migrates towards the basin margins, which may cause the risk of gas escape either through the faults or shallow margins of the basin. Therefore, the safe distance of gas migration should be evaluated before selecting prospective sites for CO₂ injection.

The solubility trapping potential has been calculated using the approach presented in (Bachu & Adams, 2003). It accounts for the brine salinity, temperature, pressure and reservoir properties that vary considerably across the Baltic basin. The solubility of CO₂ in Cambrian formation water varies from 25–30 kg/m³ in west Lithuania to 40–50 kg/m³ in east Lithuania and Latvia (Table 4). The CO₂ storage potential changes westwards from 0.4 Mt/km² to 0.05 Mt/km². The calculated total solubility trapping capacity is as high as 11 Gt of CO₂ within the area of the supercritical state of the carbon dioxide.

Table 4. Solubility trapping in Cambrian reservoir in west, central, and east Lithuania

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>West Lithuania</th>
<th>Central Lithuania</th>
<th>East Lithuania</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer temperature, °C</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer Pressure, MPa</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity, g/l</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ solubility, kg/m³</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective thickness, m</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity, %</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubility storage in 1 km² area, Mt of CO₂</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.409</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Pārnu-Kemeri aquifer is characterised by better reservoir properties, but has a smaller area of extent than the Middle Cambrian reservoir. CO₂ solubility ranges from 36 kg/m³ in the deep part of the basin to 60 kg/m³ in the shallow periphery of the basin. In west Lithuania the storage capacity of the reservoir is about 1 Mt of CO₂ in 1 km² area. The total onshore potential of this formation is estimated as high as 1 Gt of CO₂.

5. MINERAL TRAPPING

5.1. Mineral trapping in aquifers

The mineral trapping that involves a series of interactions between the formation mineralogy and CO₂-enriched aquifer waters, can convert CO₂ to carbonate, an immobile and harmless mineral that will be stored for millions to hundreds of millions of years (Bruant et al, 2002). Reactions with Ca/Mg/Fe-bearing silicate minerals are the most promising for carbon sequestration because these silicates neutralize the added acidic CO₂ and provide alkali metals that trap CO₂ through the precipitation.
of carbonate (Gunter et al, 1997). These reactions can be summarized as follows (Hitchon 1996): Ca/Mg/Fe feldspar + clays + CO₂ + H₂O = kaolinite + Ca/Mg/Fe carbonate + quartz.

The Middle Cambrian reservoir comprises quartz sandstones that are practically not reactive to carbon dioxide. The Pärnu-Kemeri sandstones contain clay admixture (up to 10%) and feldspar grains (up to 15%). Therefore they have a potential for permanent immobilisation of carbon dioxide in mineral form. Assuming the rock capacity of 10 kg/m³ (Bachu & Adams, 2003), the sequestration potential can be evaluated to reach 5.6 Gt of CO₂ (onshore). Taking into consideration injection limitations the realistic number would be an order lower.

5.2 Mineral trapping in ultramafic rocks

The ultramafic rocks have been identified as the most suitable rock media for mineral trapping of carbon dioxide. However, they are rather rare and can provide only the limited reserves for CO₂ sequestration. Alternatively, the serpentinite rocks are abundant in the world and can serve for immobilization of CO₂. The large serpentinite province was mapped in the Palaeoproterozoic crystalline basement of south Lithuania. Serpentinites associate with the high-quality iron ore that provides the opportunity for the cascade utilization of these formations. Serpentinites are distributed in the southeastern part of the Lithuania in the Varena Geological Province (Figure 7). More than a dozen of serpentinite bodies were identified in south Lithuania. Owing to their association with the iron ore deposits these bodies were extensively studied by the drilling. They subcrop at the top of the basement and are covered by the platform sediments of 280-500 m thickness. With respect to the major CO₂ emission sources the Varena Serpentinite Province is located close to the south-eastern cluster of emission sources, the distance to the particular sources varying from 50 km to 150 km.

The carbon dioxide is immobilized by reacting with the serpentinite to form stable minerals. The serpentinite represent the by-product of the iron ore exploitation. It is important that the most of the technological chain used for the magnetite extraction is applied in CO₂ carbonation process that is as following: Serpentine → Crushing → Grinding → Screening → Magnetic separation (iron ore Fe₃O₄) → Reactor (CO₂ solution). Only the last operation in this chain is applicable for just the carbonation of the serpentinite.

Roughly, the ratio of the immobilized CO₂ to the serpentinite is assumed 1:2. The volume of the serpentinites in the largest Varena Iron Ore Deposit is estimated 1-2 Gt. Consequently, the sequestration potential is evaluated as high as 0.5-1 Gt. It equals to CO₂ production during 200-500 years in the south-eastern CO₂ emission cluster. The other serpentinite bodies identified in southeast Lithuania are much smaller. Assuming the total capacity of the rest objects at least equal to the Varena Iron Ore Deposit, they provide considerable potential for mineral sequestration of the carbon dioxide.

5.3. Mineral trapping by oil shale ash

The technology of CO₂ mineral trapping with waste oil shale ash is under development in Republic of Estonia. The concept for abatement of CO₂ emissions in power production based on oil shale ash as sorbent for CO₂ mineralization has been proposed and elaborated (Figure 8). State funded investigations of Tallinn University of Technology, thereby within the framework of Nordic-Baltic project NoCO₂ and under support of energy sector as well as of Ministry of Environment of Estonia shows that the amount of CO₂ which is possible to bind with oil shale ash can cover about 10-12% of the total industrial CO₂ emissions in Estonia (Kuusk et al, 2001,2002a, 2002b, Uibu & Kuusik 2007, Uibu et al, 2007, 2008, 2009).

Estonian oil shale is a carbonaceous fine-grained sedimentary rock of Ordovician age with 10-60% of kerogen (solid organic matter), high carbonate content (20-70%) represented by limestone, or more rarely by dolomite, and 15-60% of siliciclastic minerals (sandy-clay). As a fuel oil shale has a low net calorific value (8-9 MJ/kg). During combustion of one tonne of oil shale 450 kg of ash is produced. In case of mineral coal only 100 kg of ash is produced. Therefore oil shale ash is a low-grade fuel.

Oil shale is produced in two qualities: with grain size of 0-25mm and 25-125 mm. The enriched lumpy oil shale (25-125 mm) with higher calorific value is used to produce shale oil and as fuel in cement kilns. About 77% of the mined oil shale (grain size 0-25 mm) with lower calorific value is used as boiler fuel in large power plants. During combustion of powdered oil shale CO₂ is formed not only as a burning product of organic carbon, but also as a decomposition product of the ash carbonate part. Therefore the total quantity of carbon dioxide increases up to 25% in flue gases of oil-shale (1).

Oil shale ash contains up to 20-25% free Ca-Mg oxides. Portlandite Ca(OH)₂ forming from free lime during hydraulic transportation and wet deposition of ash can bind CO₂ also from air. Natural weathering process could be accelerated by simple methods. It has been demonstrated by batch and continuous mode experiments that by processing of the ash – water suspension by flue gases the CO₂ binding ability of ash could be utilized completely. The results of these experiments show that watered oil shale ash can bind 80-160 kg and more of CO₂ per one tonne of ash, and 30-80 kg CO₂ could be bound by alkaline wastewater used for transportation of one tonne of ash (Uibu, Kuusik, 2007).

From annual production of about 14 mln tonnes of oil shale in Estonia, 10,8 mln t (77%) are the share of oil shale combustion by Energy Sector. Taking into account that 450 kg of ash is produced from every one tonne of combusted oil shale, about 5 mln tonnes of ash are produced annually. The amount of CO₂ bound by oil shale ash in wet mineralization process by flue gas could reach 400 – 800 thousand tones and by alkaline wastewater neutralization process in reactor 150– 400 thousand tonnes. The maximum amount of CO₂ bound with flue gas could be summarized as...
Fig. 7 Left figure shows major lithotectonic domains of the crystalline basement of Lithuania. The Varena Geological Province is shaded. Right figure zooms in the Varena Geological Province. The Varena Iron Ore deposit is distinguished. The depths of the top of the crystalline basement are indicated.

Fig. 8 Concept for CO₂ binding in oil shale-based power production

550 – 1200 thousand tonnes. Taking into account that big industrial Energy enterprises using mainly oil shale produced 11.5 million tonnes CO₂ in 2005, the maximum ratio of CO₂ which is possible to bind with oil shale ash and transportation water by flue gas could estimated as 10-11% of CO₂ emitted.

The further studies with concentrated CO₂ could increase these numbers but not dramatically. Performed experiments with flue gas already showed that CaO was bound up to 100% and increase could give only MgO and Ca-silicates which showed binding degree 37-89% (Uibu et al, 2007, Uibu & Kuusik 2007). There exist some prerequisites that carbonates formed as result of the binding process could be separated and used as independent by-product, but more useful is to store them in the closed oil-shale mines. The last solution will permit to fill underground mining cavities and to prevent environmental problems arising from ash heaps.

6. Conclusion

CO₂ sources are distributed unevenly in the Baltic countries and the types of CO₂ sources vary considerably. Major emissions are concentrated in the coastal area of the Gulf of Finland in Estonia. Due to the utilisation of oil shale for energy production, CO₂ emissions produced by two largest Estonian power plants (12.1 Mt in 2007) exceed the CO₂ produced by all of the Lithuanian (6 Mt) and Latvian (2.89 Mt) stationary sources. During 2007 CO₂ emissions from Estonian industrial sources increased by about 18% mainly owing to increased energy production of these two power plants largest in the region.

Baltic countries are situated within the Baltic sedimentary basin. Two prospective aquifers of the Lower-Middle Devonian and Middle Cambrian meet the basic requirements for CO₂ storage. However, only Latvia contains structural traps large enough to store the industrial
CO₂ emissions. The capacity of 15 large uplifts have the total capacity exceeding 300 Mt that amounts to more than 150 years of country’s CO₂ stationary emissions.

As for Lithuania and Estonia, the transportation of CO₂ to Latvian storage site can be a potential option, which, however, may meet serious political and public opinion problems. The alternative approaches should be therefore considered. The in-situ solubility and mineral trapping in the deep saline aquifers are still long-term technologies to develop (McGrail et al., 2001).

The mineral trapping of CO₂ from flue gas with alkaline ash produced by oil shale combustion and ash transportation water could bind up to 10-12% of industrial CO₂ emissions in Estonia. Application of this technology can solve several environmental problems in Estonia: decrease of CO₂ emissions and ash residues, filling of the basement is covered by only thin sedimentary cover. Still, these technologies are immature and need further developments.
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CO₂ contents in soil air for needs of carbon dioxide storage monitoring
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Abstract. There have been conducted an investigation on CO₂ concentration in soil air in the Jastrzabka Stara oil reservoir area, the Carpathian Foredeep in SE Poland, in 2006 - 2007. The reservoir was selected as location of a research CO₂ injection installation. Monitoring procedures were executed to define background of CO₂ concentration in soil air before injection starts.

Measurements were performed at 24 sampling points located in the SE part of the reservoir, basing on a methodology described in the paper.

Measured CO₂ concentration values in the covered area range from 1 to over 5 %. There has been observed a concentration variability depending on sampling point location or seasons. The least concentration was measured in autumn, a bit higher was measured in springtime, both were below 2 % (majority were below 1 %).

The highest CO₂ concentrations were logged in summer time, measured concentration values exceeded 5 %. Measurement results display variability of CO₂ concentration in soil caused by seasonal biological activity and other natural factors.

They reveal usefulness of further measurements allowing CO₂ concentration background estimation in soil air, as reference in underground CO₂ injection monitoring procedures.

Key words: monitoring, storage, carbon dioxide, soil air measurement

Introduction

Carbon dioxide geological sequestration requires appropriate monitoring methods proving that the process is run safely and in an effective way. CO₂ storage monitoring is performed using various methods: direct (geochemical methods) or indirect (geophysical techniques and other) (Tarkowski et al., 2005).

These techniques allow to register dynamic processes combined with CO₂ downhole injection. They are borrowed from: petroleum industry (natural gas storage systems), know-how on hazardous and liquid waste storage in geological formations, underground hydromonitoring, ecosystem research and other (Benson & Myer, 2002; Benson et al. 2002, 2002a).

Direct CO₂ concentration measurements in water or ground/soil air make an essential part of the monitoring procedures. It allows to register CO₂ concentration in near subsurface ground layers, soil, surface and underground water, injection well vicinity, abandoned boreholes (Strutt et al., 2002).

One of methods used to examine both underground sink and CO₂ injection facility tightness is monitoring CO₂ concentration in ground subsurface. Atmogeochemical methods hither applied allow to directly detect CO₂ in ground air, being both reliable and inexpensive, as well as devices applied being easy to transport and operate.

The results allow to read gas level changes in soil air and to estimate migration tendencies. Majority of techniques applied to CO₂ concentration measurement in ground air is based on IR radiation absorbance by that gas. There are two basic types of these apparatuses: non-dispersive IR gas analysers (NSDIRs) and IR diode laser instruments (Monitoring … 2005; Pearce et al., 2005).

The paper presents preliminary measurement results of CO₂ contents in soil air in the area of an almost exhausted oil reservoir - the Jastrzabka Stara (Tarnów region, SE Poland). It was chosen as location for an underground CO₂ injection research installation (The in-situ researches …, 2006; Tarkowski, 2007).

Carbon dioxide storage monitoring is to be examined in the location (first three years of CO₂ injection operation), combined with estimation of oil recovery enhancement prospects for the reservoir (CO2-EOR response examination). The results displayed cover initial stage of CO₂ underground storage monitoring, that is background estimation of ground air CO₂ contents.

The measurements started in 2005 and will be run next years (Introductory research…, 2005). The read background will be used as reference in CO₂ storage operation monitoring, during injection process as well as afterwards. The gas concentration increase could suggest that there is a CO₂ seepage.

1. The location and reservoir geology

The Jastrzabka Stara oil reservoir is located in SE Poland, in the Podkarpackie Voivodeship area, within commune of Czarna, 35 kilometers NE from Tarnów. Morphology of the reservoir area is hardly diversified. Rural landscape is filled with scattered buildings, mellow hills, farmlands and green meadows, and the terrain absolute altitude range from 210 do 255 m above see level.
Table 1 Combination of CO₂ concentration measurement results (%) for given time intervals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well number</th>
<th>5 min</th>
<th>20 min</th>
<th>30 min</th>
<th>60 min</th>
<th>120 min</th>
<th>240 min</th>
<th>360 min</th>
<th>480 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reservoir is located in the Carpathian Foredeep that is filled up with autochthonic Miocene sediments, lying on a bedrock formed of Jurassic and Cretaceous rocks. Within the Upper Jurassic formations, in calciferous-marls Senonian complex, occur three sandstone reservoir levels bared with hydrocarbon accumulation.

The reservoir is tightened by younger Jurassic strata in the central part, the peripherals being eroded and therefore tightened by Tertiary rocks. Hydrocarbon accumulation in the area of Jastrzabka Stara oil reservoir is combined with an anticline structure of NW-SE length. Nowadays seven wells (Jastrzabka Stara 6, 7, 8, 12, 15, 20 and 21) are operational there and produce oil.

2. Ground air CO₂ concentration measurement methodology

Ground air CO₂ concentration measurements were performed in SE part of the reservoir, within area around and between JSt-12 and JSt-8 wells that are 1 200 m distant each other. The JSt-12 well is selected to be an injection well while the least distant neighbouring well (JSt-8) is chosen to be the monitoring one (The in-situ researches....., 2006; Tarkowski, 2007).

In the area there were defined 24 sampling points. The point grid definition is combined with CO₂ injection prospects, therefore likely closest seepage locations. The sampling points are defined in farmlands and meadows, ground there occurring is sandy, sometimes sand loamy.

Measurements of CO₂ contents in ground air consisted in:
- sampling point tracking down by a GPS device
- hole of 4 cm diameter boring down to 80 cm depth with a hand-driven sounder
- the borehole insulation from atmospheric air by plugging
- measurement of soil air CO₂ concentration at bottom of the borehole, after at least 2 hours, using a gas sounder and a MultiRaePlus IR detector of maximum CO₂ threshold of 5 %.

The time gap between sampling borehole drilling and measurement was determined experimentally (Tab.1). There were performed about 160 measurements of CO₂ contents in these sampling points, in springtime, summer and winter season in 2006-2007. In some cases measurement was not possible due to borehole inundation during the time gap or some other reason.

3. Results of CO₂ concentration measurements

Results of ground air CO₂ concentration measurements are displayed in diagrams (Figs. 1 - 3). They display gas concentration changes at the sampling points in different seasons.
For the investigation period measured CO₂ concentrations have only thrice exceeded 5% in the JSt-8 well vicinity, in JSt 8-2, JSt 8-7, JSt 8-9 sampling points, all in July. It has been remarked that measured CO₂ concentrations in all sample points are generally similar to each other for a given month of investigation. Anomalous, elevated CO₂ concentration appear in the JSt 8-1 sampling point located by the JSt-8 well. However it is obvious that in April and October CO₂ concentration was the least.

CO₂ concentration measurement results measured between JSt-8 and JSt-12 production wells (Fig. 2) are far higher than those obtained for sampling points around the wells. For a dozen of points concentration reaches or exceeds 5%, in summertime (July, August). In early springtime and late autumn observed CO₂ concentrations were the least.

Carbon dioxide concentration around the JSt-12 production well (Fig. 3) has only twice exceeded 5% for investigation period, in JSt 12-1 and JSt 12-5 sampling...
points, just in summer months (July, August). High stability of measurement results is observed for springtime and autumn months when concentration does not exceed 2% and usually is below 1%.

The results obtained so far display clear impact of cycle of the seasons on the CO₂ concentration in ground air. The gas concentration clearly depend on the season when measurements took place. The dependence read from results having been obtained for the last two years follows such outline: July (the highest concentrations observed) < June < August < May < April < October (the least concentrations observed).

Variable weather conditions (air temperature) and flora activity probably impact results the most, considering such factors as soil humidity and fertility as well.

It has been perceived that high CO₂ contents variability in the investigated area might be impacted some other way. Possible impact factors might be natural like: geological structure, kind of local bed and ground, terrain morphology (the JSt-8 production well is situated higher – 243 m above see level while the JSt-12 production well is situated lower – 229 m above see level).

It is to stress that read CO₂ concentrations in ground air are much higher than those observed in other areas (Ciężkowski et al., 2002).

Conclusions

Obtained results display the first, interesting image of the CO₂ concentration diversity in ground air being impacted by cycle of the seasons and natural environmental conditionings. These results indicate purposefulness of further investigations, run also in winter (regarding mild winters), as well as they indicate necessity to observe various parameters that might impact measurement results. Longer investigations would allow to read ground air CO₂ concentration background more reliably as a reference for the gas underground injection and storage process monitoring.
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Abstract. Mineral sequestration relies upon permanent CO2 bonding in natural minerals or wastes. It is an ecologically safe method, because CO2 is permanently bonded, and the occurring in the reaction carbonates do not affect the environment negatively. For CO2 bonding there may be used natural mineral resources such as f.ex.: olivines, serpentinites or permanent inorganic alkaline waste, containing CaO and MgO in the form reactive with CO2. Among such waste are f.ex.: fly ash, which are the potential material for carbon dioxide sequestration via mineral carbonation.

In the article a review of findings on the use of fly ash for CO2 bonding via mineral carbonation has been discussed. One of the aspects of mineral carbonation of particular interest is the influence of CO2 insertion on the leachability of chemical contamination. In the article there have been presented the exemplary results of chemical contamination contents in the leachates from ‘pure’ and with inserted CO2 ash–aqueous suspensions.

The presented findings show that the insertion of CO2 has caused changes in chemical impurities concentrations in leachates, with concentrations being either increased or decreased, however they are not great.

Key words: CO2, fly ash from hard coal combustion, fly ash from lignite combustion, mineral carbonation, leachability

1. Introduction

The reduction of increasing anthropogenic CO2 emission has recently become one of the most important problems as far as the environmental protection. Professional power industry is one of the biggest sources CO2 emission. This is where a significant amount of solid waste is produced: slags, fly ash, fly ash with desulphurization products and gypsum mixtures. Fly ash is the potential material that can be used for CO2 bonding. Polish professional power industry, which is the greatest emissioner of CO2 in Poland, uses, above all, fossil fuel – hard coal and lignite. In 2006 CO2 emission from Polish professional power industry amounted to 150,918 th. tons, including 91,544 th. tons from the power plants using hard coal, and 56,959 th. tons from power plants and combined heat and power plants (CHPs). At the same time 13,341 th. tons were captured, including 7,156 th. tons from power plants and CHPs operating on hard coal, while from power plants on lignite – 6,157 th. tons (Emitor 2007).

The issue of CO2 emission reduction from power plants and CHPs may be combined with the use of fly ash for its sequestration via mineral carbonation (Uliasz-Bocheńczyk et al. 2006, Uliasz-Bocheńczyk & Mokrzycki, 2006), which is based on CO2 reaction with metallic oxides, resulting in the formation of insoluble carbonates (IPCC…2005).

For CO2 sequestration via mineral carbonation there may be used fly ash from fossil fuel combustion in power industry (Mazurkiewicz et al. 2004; Soong et al. 2006; Baciocchi et al. 2006; Back et al. 2006; Uliasz-Bocheńczyk & Mokrzycki, 2006), as well as ash from waste combustion (Meima et al. 2002; Costa et al. 2007).

The CaO and MgO contents determine fly ash ability to CO2 bonding (Johnson, 2000; Back et al. 2006; Baciocchi et al. 2006). However, the reactivity of fly ash depends mainly on the CaO content, with MgO content being less important.

Mineral carbonation, or more precisely, the so called accelerated carbonation, has been suggested not only as a method of CO2 sequestration that is emission reduction, but also as a method of chemical stabilization of fly ash not being widely used in economy, assigned mostly for deposition. This involves, first of all, fly ash from waste combustion (Costa et al. 2007, Meima et al. 2002, Zhang et al. 2007, Li et al. 2006).

In both cases, that is CO2 sequestration and stabilization, the important issue of CO2 usage is its impact on the impurities leachability and the change of for example pH.
2. Mineral carbonation of example ash aqueous suspensions with compositions based on fly ash from hard coal and lignite combustion

Polish professional power industry is based on the use of two main fuels for its energy production: hard coal and lignite. Fly ash from professional power industry from hard coal and lignite combustion has been used in the examinations.

Fly ash from hard coal combustion in power plant Jaworzno with CaO content – 4.94%, MgO – 2.55 and fly ash from lignite combustion in power plant Belchatów with CaO content – 21.6%, MgO – 0.78% and free CaO – 1.1% has been used in the research. The free CaO content has not been stated in ash from hard coal combustion (Uliasz-Bocheńczyk et al. 2007).

Above all, the contents of CaO and MgO in fly ash determine the process of mineral carbonation. While comparing the contents of CaO, MgO and free CaO in the examined ash, a high CaO content (21.6%) is noticeable, in the fly ash from lignite combustion and relatively low CaO content in ash from hard coal combustion (4.94%), with no free CaO content stated in its composition.

For the purpose of confirmation of mineral carbonation processes in ashes of aqueous suspensions, an examination of CO₂ absorption for fresh ash aqueous suspensions as well as phase composition by means of roentgenographic method has been carried out and a supplementary study of the microstructure with the use of scanning microscope for hardened ash aqueous suspensions.

The studies of CO₂ absorption extent by ash aqueous suspensions have been carried out using especially created installation consisting of two measurement sites, including measurement sets for pressure chambers and registering devices as well as gas cylinder and reducer (Uliasz-Bocheńczyk et al. 2007).

The maximum absorption of ash aqueous suspensions on the basis of fly ash from hard coal combustion in power plant Jaworzno, has been confirmed with the ratio of ash to water 1,5 amounting to 1.22 g CO₂/100 g, for the suspensions prepared on the basis of fly ash from lignite combustion in power plant Belchatów, the maximum absorption has been stated with the ratio of ash to water 1.25 amounting to 4.15 g CO₂/100 g (Uliasz-Bocheńczyk et al. 2007).

In the roentgenographic and microstructure studies the presence of calcite has been of particular interest, as the basic product of mineral carbonation. The presence of calcite has been stated in ash aqueous suspensions prepared on the basis of ash from lignite combustion (Uliasz-Bocheńczyk et al. 2007).

The following phases have been found in the ash aqueous suspensions with compositions based on ash from hard coal combustion in power plant Belchatów: haematite (Fe₂O₃), quartz (SiO₂), calcite (CaCO₃), ettringite (Ca₈(Al₃Si₄O₁₈)(OH)₂(SO₄)₂(H₂O)₂₅·7H₂O), calcium sulphate (CaSO₄), calcium silicate (Ca₂SiO₄), which has been confirmed by the results of examinations with the use of scanning microscope (example – Fig. 1).

In the roentgenographic examinations the presence of CSH phase has not been stated, however, it has been found in the SEM examinations in the form of gel (Uliasz-Bocheńczyk et al. 2007).

3. Leachability of chemical impurities

The leachability of chemical impurities has been carried out for ash aqueous suspensions with and without inserted CO₂ in order to determine its possible influence.

Chemical oxygen demand (COD) in the analyzed solutions of aqueous extracts has been examined according to standard PN-74 C-04578/03. The chlorides content has been marked with Volhard method, and the designation of sulphates has been done with the use of inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry method (ICP AES). The plasma emission spectrometry method has been used to mark: arsenic concentration, chrome, cadmium, copper, lead, nickel, zinc, arsenic and mercury. The findings of chemical impurities concentrations as well as the results of pH values designations and COD marking in the leachates from ash aqueous suspensions, ‘pure’ (without CO₂) and with the inserted CO₂ have been presented in table 1.

The insertion of CO₂ to ash aqueous suspensions prepared on the basis of fly ash from hard coal combustion as well as those from lignite combustion has caused either an increase or a decrease in concentration of various impurities in the leachates.

The insertion of CO₂ to suspensions based on ash from hard coal has brought about a decrease in the contents of Zn, Cu, As, Hg, Cd, Cr, chlorides and sulphates, and at the same time an increase in the contents of: Pb, Ni and pH.

The insertion of CO₂ to suspensions based on ash from lignite has caused a decrease in the contents of Zn, Cr, Ni as well as sulphates and chlorides, with an increase in the contents of: Cu, Pb, As, Hg, Cd and pH.

The findings have been compared with the values of the highest acceptable values of pollution indexes for treated industrial sewage stated in the Regulation of Minister of Environment on 29th November 2002 (Regulation...2002a) on conditions to fulfill while entry to water or ground of sewage as well as on the substances harmful to water environment (Journal of Laws 02.212.1799 on 16th December 2002) – annex 3, the values of acceptable leachability stated in standard – PN-G-11011 ‘Materials for solidifying stowage and grouting of workings’, as the fly ash is, above all, economically used in mining, as well as with the requirements for water quality categories stated in the Regulation of Minister of Environment on 27th November 2002 on conditions to fulfill while using surface water deposits as drinking water (Journal of Laws 02.204.1728 on 9th December 2002).
Fig. 1 Ash aqueous suspension prepared on the basis of fly ash from hard coal combustion with inserted CO₂

Table 1 Chemical impurities contents in leachates from ash aqueous suspensions ‘pure’ and with the inserted CO₂, mg/dm³

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical impurity type</th>
<th>Suspension on the basis of fly ash from hard coal combustion without CO₂</th>
<th>Suspension on the basis of fly ash from hard coal combustion with inserted CO₂</th>
<th>Suspension on the basis of fly ash from lignite combustion without CO₂</th>
<th>Suspension on the basis of fly ash from lignite combustion with inserted CO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.00058</td>
<td>0.00029</td>
<td>0.00037</td>
<td>0.00044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.00002</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.00040</td>
<td>0.00041</td>
<td>0.00024</td>
<td>0.00013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>0.0118</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.00022</td>
<td>0.00034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>0.00017</td>
<td>0.00020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.00042</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
<td>0.00017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>455.9</td>
<td>285.7</td>
<td>132.8</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChlZT mg O₂/L</td>
<td>&lt;5.0</td>
<td>&lt;5.0</td>
<td>&lt;5.0</td>
<td>&lt;5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The findings have exceeded highest acceptable values of impurities indexes stated in Regulation (Regulation...2002a) for pH (acceptable 8.5 has not been exceeded only in the case of suspension with composition based on fly ash from lignite combustion without CO$_2$). The remaining obtained impurities concentrations were below acceptable standards.

The obtained results of chemical impurities concentrations do not exceed the values of chemical contents stated in standard PN-G-11011.

The findings of leachability have been compared with the requirements for water quality categories stated in the Regulation of Ministry of Environment on 27th November 2002 (Regulation...2002b). The obtained concentrations have exceeded the acceptable values only in the case of suspensions based on fly ash from hard coal combustion, in the case of mercury (acceptable 0.005 mg/dm$^3$) and sulphates (acceptable 250 mg/dm$^3$) and pH in the case of the two types of suspensions (acceptable 8.5 has not been exceeded only in the case of suspension with composition based on fly ash from lignite combustion without CO$_2$).

4. Summary

Fly ash may be the material used for CO$_2$ sequestration. Regardless of a low extent of absorption, as for example in suspensions based on fly ash from hard coal combustion, waste is worth considering for CO$_2$ sequestration, because of its great amounts. However, when deciding about ash usage for CO$_2$ sequestration, the leachability of chemical impurities should be taken into consideration, as far as their later use. The presented findings show that the insertion of CO$_2$ has caused changes in chemical impurities concentrations in leachates, with concentrations being either increased or decreased, however they are not great.
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Preliminary results of the microbiological research on CO₂ naturale exhalations executed for geobiomonitoring needs
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Abstract. Carbon dioxide storage issues require precautions and monitoring measures (geophysical, geochemical and others) being undertaken due to inspect tightness of underground sinks. Detection of possible seepage might be gained by screening local microflora that occur in soil, making use of the fact that some microorganisms proliferate the better the more carbon dioxide is supplied. The Authors decided to identify and examine those microorganisms that could be suitable to attain the aim.

Microbiological examination was performed for soil samples originating from terrains of natural CO₂ outflows (Krynica environs, S Poland). Species composition and numerosity of dominating microorganisms (bacteria, Actinomycetes and fungi) was defined, and one intense biochemical process was remarked. Presence of microbial physiological groups typical for highlands was detected. The results display that Clostridium kluyverii and nitrification process might be useful as bioindicators to estimate CO₂ supply in soil environments.

It is advisable to search for other microorganisms that might be useful and easy to apply as indicators of increased CO₂ supply in soil environment. Growth curves for Clostridium kluyverii and sulphur bacteria should be defined within varying CO₂ supply rates. The results obtained so far are promising, further research should be directed to other microorganisms that display desirable features to substrates other than carbon dioxide.

Key words: monitoring, storage, carbon dioxide, microorganism, bioindicator

Introduction

An underground storage of the anthropogenetic CO₂ within deep geological structures requires to monitor its plum movement within and outside of the underground sink. The gas seepage may occur through injection or observation well leaks, or through natural migration paths as faults and fractures (Moberg et al., 2002; Emberley et al., 2002). The CO₂ injection and storage monitoring may be performed using many methods, like geophysical, geochemical or other ones. They are ment to collect data on as many as possible dynamic processes referred to carbon dioxide injection into the underground sink. An importance of the carbon dioxide storage monitoring issues is considered within the 6th EU Frame Programme (e.g. CO2SINK, CO2ReMoVe), where the MEERI PAS Institute is a Partner.

The CO₂ seepage might be monitored by investigation of the local microflora composition, which is suggested by authors. It is based on the fact that some microorganisms proliferate better at higher CO₂ availability, being able to its assimilation, so the CO₂ availability increase results in their population increase (McPherson and EarthLab Steering Committee 2003). Such biomonitoring (a geobiomonitoring when referred to CO₂ exhaling from deep rock formations) is based on an assumption that CO₂ migration from the underground sink to the surface ground strata and soil shall induce detectable alteration of the local microflora. Comparing the numerosity of populations of certain microorganisms, which appear to be good CO₂ augmentation indicators, before, during and after CO₂ injection, when storage site is closed, should allow to detect possible CO₂ seepage from the underground sink (Gołębiowska & Pędzioł, 1984; Tarkowski & Królik 2005, Tarkowski et al., 2005, Uliasz-Misiak et al., 2006).

The literature reveals no information about existing solutions on microbiological monitoring methods used at CO₂ underground storage sites. No suitable microorganisms have been identified so far. That is why authors decided to execute microbiological research due to define: numerosity of important microbial physiological groups occurring in soil environments at higher CO₂ concentrations, such environment microbiocenotic composition and identification of indicative microorganisms sensitive to CO₂ supply. To attain the aim samples had been taken from the Zlockie and Muszyna area (the region of Krynica), where occur natural carbon dioxide exhalations and its concentration in the soil air locally exceeds 10 %.
It is thought that in a soil environment may occur 12000 – 18000 or even more different bacteria species (Barabasz & Volfíšek, 2002). Calculations of certain authors show that within the whole biosphere might exist 40 000 or even 2 – 3 millions of different bacteria (Trevors & van Elsas, 1995; Tunlid & White, 1991). So it is allowed to presume that within such rich microbial diversity do exist such microorganisms that are adapted to particular conditions occurring in subject ecological micronicones. It seems that CO2 as a gas that had been present in soil environment since ever, induced microbial adaptation in a way that amongst them surely exist such ones that had adapted to its high concentrations or even require it to grow and proliferate. Such assumption was a base of the research which results are presented in the paper.

Carbon dioxide exhalations at the Carpathians (Fig. 1) are pretty widespread in the SE Poland (the Krynica environs). Their existence is combined with an endogenic carbon dioxide influx, and with tectonic zones (deep-seated faults). At surface CO2 occurs along fractures and faults. It migrating through rocks and partially dissolves in underground water, partially penetrates into soil air forming CO2 occurrence anomalies. The obtained soil gas composition compilation of the Krynica environs revealed an increased background at drainage area of Krynica, Muszyna and Żegiestów. CO2 concentration average amounts 2.5 %, reaching at most several dozen percent (e.g. Zlockie – 92 % of volume) (Ciężkowski et al., 2002; Leśniak, 1983). The strongest CO2 exhalation area consists of a meadow belt between Jastrzębk and Zlockie. There were found about 40 exhalation points, the biggest one is the Świdziński Mofette (Rajchel L. & Rajchel J., 2006).

1. Material and Methods

Sample collection for microbiological examination to select characteristic microbes occurring in particular soil environments enriched in CO2, and quest for indicative microorganisms sensible to alteration of soil atmosphere, were run in 2004 and 2005. The soil samples (Tab. 1) collected for detailed microbiological analyses originated from Muszyna/Krynica region, where CO2 concentration in soil air from several to 20 and more percent. The soil samples weighted from 500 g to 1000 g, were taken from 2 depths: 1st level 0 – 15 cm and 2nd one at 40 - 50 cm, to sterile plastic containers, respecting all the microbiological cleanliness rules. The probes were taken from Zlockie location (probes 1 and 2) and from Muszyna location (probes 3 and 4), from the two mentioned depths. Totally there were collected 8 soil samples out of 4 locations. The sample No 1 was taken from a meadow by the „Devil’s Breath” spring (soil rich in humus). The sample No 2 was
Table 1 Location of sampling points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Localisation</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Altitude [m a.s.l.]</th>
<th>CO₂ concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Złockie – the meadow by the „Devil`s Breath” spring</td>
<td>49°23′12.1″</td>
<td>20°53′51.7″</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>&gt;25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Złockie – the escarpment by the road, to the north, below the shrine (loam)</td>
<td>49°23′20.6″</td>
<td>20°54′25.9″</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Muszyna – the meadow by minera water well P-3</td>
<td>49°20′48.3″</td>
<td>20°53′03.8″</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Muszyna by mineral water well P-3</td>
<td>49°20′48.0″</td>
<td>20°52′56.8″</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>&gt;25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Media used for microbiological tests (microorganism isolation and definition)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Microbiological media</th>
<th>Defined microorganisms and physiological groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Agar MPA</td>
<td>General bacteria number - vegetative forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Agar MPA</td>
<td>General bacteria number - resting forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pochon`s agar</td>
<td>General number of Actinomycetales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pochon`s agar</td>
<td>General number of thermophile Actinomycetales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Ammonificator agar</td>
<td>Bacteria active in organic nitrogen metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pikowska – medium</td>
<td>Bacteria active in phosphorus metabolism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ashby – medium</td>
<td>Bacteria combining N₂ from air - Azotobacter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mash- agar</td>
<td>General number of fungi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pseudomonas medium</td>
<td>Pseudomonas saccharophila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Desulfovibrio - medium</td>
<td>Desulfovibrio in CO₂ atmosphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Desulfovibrio - medium</td>
<td>Desulfovibrio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Clostridium - agar</td>
<td>Clostridium pasteurianum denomination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Starch medium</td>
<td>Bacteria active in starch decay, amylolytic bacteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Winogradsky – medium</td>
<td>Nitrification process activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Winogradsky – medium</td>
<td>Nitrification process activity in CO₂ atmosphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Giltay – medium</td>
<td>Denitrification process activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Cl. kluveri – medium (liquid and solid)</td>
<td>Clostridium kluveri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Cl. kluveri – medium (liquid and solid)</td>
<td>Clostridium kluveri in CO₂ atmosphere</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

taken from a slope by the road, to the north, below the shrine (loam). Samples No 3 and No 4 were taken in Muszyna, by mineral water capture well P-3, from a meadow above the well and from nearby the well respectively.

The laboratory examinations had let to define quantity and species composition of dominating microorganisms existing in the examined soils. To microbiologically characterize the examined soils standard methods of microbiological analysis were used, as well as specific and selective media shown in the table 2. For qualitative examinations of systematic of dominating bacteria and fungi species the standard methods of analysis were used, commonly applied in microbiological laboratories, based on recognized tests and manuals: Bergey’s for bacteria and Domsch’s for fungi.

For a quest for indicative microorganisms able to better, faster or particular growth culture methods that involve special chambers equipped with atmosphere composition control were used. First of all a growth of specific anaerobic bacteria like Clostridium kluveri, Pseudomonas saccharophila and Desulfovibrio (Jungermann et al., 1968) were remarked. The cultures were kept in an anaerostatic chamber in controlled atmosphere. As the next step a response of nitrifying bacteria to higher CO₂ concentration was observed.

2. The results

The qualitative and quantitative results were obtained due to performed detailed microbiological research and they are shown. Numerosity of the selected microbial groups is shown in the table 3. Numerosity of the selected microbial groups displayed in (log – E) system, for each sample, is shown by the figures 2 and 3.

The quantitative results (Tab. 3) and charts (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3) that concern different microbial group occurrence reveal that their amount alters strongly depending on the sample collection location. This diversity is interfered with humidity, reaction and CO₂ concentration. Large diversity of fungi, thermophyllic Actinomycetes and denitrifying bacteria was observed in individual samples. It is probable that amongst these groups occur the microorganisms quested for, that might indicate higher CO₂ concentration in a soil environment. To prove these presumptions further, detailed and expert investigation is required. The most numerous bacterial physiological groups present in examined soils are: active bacterial forms, read on MPA agar media, and ammonifying bacteria, active in organic nitrogen cycle. Moreover, amongst the most numerous microorganisms defined occur bacteria selected to display CO₂ supply changes, that means Pseudomonas saccharophila and Clostridium kluveri.
Table 3 Numerosity estimation of microorganisms present in chosen soil profiles taken out from Muszyna environs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microorganisms and physiological groups</th>
<th>Samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria - vegetative forms</td>
<td>6006000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria - resting forms</td>
<td>32564000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinomycetales</td>
<td>1633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermophile Actinomycetales</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonificator</td>
<td>12162500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus bacteria</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azotobacter</td>
<td>833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi</td>
<td>1767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas saccharophila</td>
<td>2110904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfovibrio in CO₂</td>
<td>8160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desulfovibrio sp.</td>
<td>1406000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridium pasteurianum</td>
<td>1.E+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity [%]</td>
<td>49.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 Denomination of nitrifying bacteria present in examined soils, cultured in higher CO₂ concentration

Results reveal that a nitrification process occurs in the examined soils very intensely, which may suggest that increased CO₂ supply in soil environment increase the process.

On the other hand qualitative examinations (Tab. 3) of microbiocenotic composition of occurring bacterial species, Actinomycetes and fungi tell that the examined samples reveal typical soil microflora characteristic for highlands rich in organic matter. The examined nitrification process, though of oxygenic nature, displayed response to increased CO₂ concentration, and its intensity was exceptional. Denomination of nitrifying bacteria pre-
sent in some samples (No 1-1, 1-2, 3-2, 4-2) (Fig. 1) was very high \(10^{-9}\) which is pretty rare in cultivated soil, even in lowlands. Observations of the *Clostridium kluyveri* bacteria, which revealed the most significant diversity, reaching 4 magnitude orders (balancing between \(10^{-2}\) and \(10^{-6}\)) in the examined soil samples revealed that it is the most suitable microorganism fit to choose and define locations of high CO\(_2\) concentration.

Results of research run in higher CO\(_2\) concentration allow to state that population of nitrifying bacteria and *Clostridium kluyveri* ones do response readily to CO\(_2\) concentration increase, in laboratory conditions. The numerosity increase (Fig. 2 and 3) is perceptible in most of locations and reaches multiplication index from 10 to 100 times, referring to initial number. It seems to be independent from the sample collection depth, for both cases. However it could depend on initial microorganism numerosity.

3. Discussion

The performed detailed microbiological examinations of soil probes collected from two depths revealed that there occur all investigated microbial physiological groups, which shows high biological activity of these soils. A special interest should be paid to extremely high number of ammonifying and nitrifying bacteria, as well as *Azotobacter* gender ones, which could be combined with high fertility of these lands. The bacteria of special interest, that is *Clostridium kluyveri* and *Desulfovibrio sp.* occurred in all examined samples. Relatively high number of typically anaerobic bacteria could suggest their accommodation to conditions existing in soils of higher CO\(_2\) concentration.

The quantitative research reveals that Muszyna region soils are rich in different microorganisms. Bacteria, their vegetative forms as well as resting forms, are present in population of several millions to several dozens of millions of cells in one gram of soil. There occur as well bacteria active in nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur cycles, in large quantities. A special attention should be paid to *Azotobacter* sp. bacteria, that occur in these soils in extremely large quantities. As far as this bacteria is considered it is usual for fertile soils, rich in mineral compounds and organic substance. The isolated microbial groups, bacteria, *Actinomycetes* and fungi, are typical for highland brown soils, rich in organic matter. Existence of different microbial groups at extremely high concentrations and very intensive processes running in these soils could suggest that higher CO\(_2\) supply intensify growth, proliferation and biochemical processes of the selected microbial groups.

Basing on initial numerosity of the indicative soil microflora one cannot deduce the CO\(_2\) concentration in soil air. Only observation of the numerosity variation in time allows such deduction. To consider just variation induced by CO\(_2\) supply it is required to define CO\(_2\) concentration alteration caused by other environmental factors (periodical changements). It requires an initial period of observation of the future sequestration site area, or else adaptation or reinterpretation of already existing results of observation of another, similar area.

Amongst aerobic microorganisms, as well as among anaerobic ones, there may exist species or strains that response to increased CO\(_2\) concentration in soil air, by increased growth or by increasing intensity of particular biochemical processes. Isolation of other but similar microorganisms, would allow using them as next bioindicators or biosensors sensible to increased CO\(_2\) contents in soil air.

A well-defined diversity CO\(_2\) occurrence in soil air at the same area, dependent on location of sampling point...
and measuring point suggests to set a relatively dense and detailed sampling grid on terrains to be geobiomonitored in the future.

Basing on the results obtained so far from already performed microbiological tests and observation of soil environment at chosen locations by Kryniça it is possible to present following general conclusions:

1. As a result of detailed microbiological analysis of examined soil environments it was displayed that there occur all examined microbial physiological groups, which diversity came out of local habitat conditions.

2. Observed carbon dioxide concentrations in soil air at the area of natural CO₂ exhalations differ each other significantly, depending on location of the exploration point.

3. Obtained results reveal that Clostridium kluyveri bacteria and the nitrification process can be used as indicators to estimate CO₂ contents in soil environments.

4. Initial number of indicative microorganisms does not reflect directly CO₂ concentration in soil air.

5. It is advisable to search for other microorganisms (e.g. fungi, thermopile Actinomycetas or denitrifying bacteria) that might be suitable for and easily applicable as indicator of increased CO₂ contents in soil environments.

6. Further quest for indicative microorganisms reflecting CO₂ high concentrations in soil environment should be run in field and laboratory conditions as well. Obtained preliminary results allow to run further microbiological and biochemical research, focused on detailed definition of growth curves for found Thiobacillus thiooxidanssulphur bacteria and Clostridium kluyveri, within a range of CO₂ concentrations, and focused on elaboration of methodology allowing to count bacterial cells present on surface of a poor medium (e.g. pyrite).

Concurrent existence of two physiological groups sensitive to CO₂ supply in soil microflora increase credibility of the results obtained so far. Increase of bioindicators number analyzed in geobiomonitoring process reduce its susceptibility to clutter. Regarding these reasons it is advisable to run further research on bioindicator quest, widening spectrum of interest to substrates other than CO₂.
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Abstract. A study focused on CO₂ capture and storage potential of the Czech Republic was performed within the CASTOR and EU GeoCapacity European R&D projects. The first part of the study focuses on country-wide greenhouse gases emissions, their development and related national policies. A comprehensive inventory of big industrial CO₂ emission point sources follows based on both data from the National Allocation Plan and monitoring and reporting results for the EU Emission Trading Scheme. The second part deals with the national geological settings of the Czech Republic. Big sedimentary basins were evaluated regarding their suitability and potential for geological storage of CO₂ and several tens of promising structures were selected, representing all the three main types of storage structures – deep saline aquifers, hydrocarbon fields and unmined coal seams. The first rough assessment of storage capacities of the selected structures was made, resulting into a total figure of ca 3300 Mt CO₂, which can be regarded the first estimation of the country-wide CO₂ storage potential. Deep saline aquifers, representing almost 87 % of this capacity, are the most important structures from the quantitative point of view.
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1. Introduction

The Czech Republic counts among countries with high level of CO₂ emissions in terms of per capita and per GDP unit values. This is the reason why various options of their reduction are placed on the agenda. Among these options, CO₂ capture and storage (CCS) seems to be one of the most effective, especially in relation to big stationary sources like power plants, refineries, steel mills or cement factories. To be able to assess the territorial CCS potential of the country, a detailed study focused on big CO₂ emission sources (exceeding 100 kt CO₂ / year) was performed, accompanied by a review of the national geology resulting into selection of geological structures potentially suitable for geological storage of CO₂.

2. Greenhouse gas emissions in the Czech Republic

Protection of the climate system of the Earth is a top-priority environmental issue in the Czech Republic (CR). It is included in the Resolution No. 187/2004 of the Government of the CR (National Program to Abate the Climate Change Impacts in the Czech Republic) and also in the Actualized State Environmental Policy of the CR (2004). The Czech Republic has committed itself to reduce its greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 8 % from the base year (the emissions in 1990 for CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O, but 1995 for F-gases) in the first commitment period (2008 – 2012) of the Kyoto Protocol. It is believed, that the CR will be able to fulfill this goal without serious problems.

Moreover, in 2001 a national target was declared by the Czech government in the State Environmental Policy to reduce GHG emissions in 2005 even by 20 % compared with the year 1990. From GHG emission data for period 1990 – 2004 it is evident that this target will be met (see Fig. 1). Much more ambitious targets are incorporated in the National Program to Abate the Climate Change Impacts in the Czech Republic - to reduce CO₂ emissions per capita by 30 % until 2020, compared to 2000; to reduce total aggregate CO₂ emissions by 25 % in 2020, compared to 2000; and to keep at the commenced trend to 2030. Considering these ambitions, it is clear that development and application of new advanced technologies (including CO₂ capture and geological storage) are highly desirable.

Greenhouse gas (GHG) emission inventories in the CR are compiled according to the standard IPCC methodology and the results are submitted annually to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change Secretariat (UN FCCC) in standard formats. The Czech Hydrometeorological Institute (CHMI) is responsible for GHG inventory preparation on the territory of the CR. Result of these inventories is shown in Fig. 1. In 2006, the total aggregated emissions (including removals from the LULUCF sector) reduction was almost 23.9 % compared to 1990. It is evident that CO₂ represents a thumping majority (85.9 %) of all GHG emissions, while contributions of CH₄, N₂O and F-gases are 8.3 %, 5.1 % and 0.7 %, respectively (CHMI, 2006; CHMI, 2007, CHMI, 2008; Fott, Vácha 2005).
Recently, a new source of data on individual CO\textsubscript{2} emission sources has appeared. It contains data collected for the purposes of the European Union Emissions Trading Scheme – EU ETS. All major CO\textsubscript{2} emitters (stationary point sources) are included in this scheme. In addition, all facilities under EU ETS are obligated to regularly monitor and report their CO\textsubscript{2} emissions. Since 1990, the emissions reduction in the CR was much higher than in the EU, especially due to the industry restructuring in the first period of the 1990s. On the other hand, further reduction after 1995 was supported by the implemented policies and measures (mainly oriented to energy efficiency, usage of natural gas and biomass, etc. – see below). A comparison of GHG emissions development in the EU and the CR is shown in Figure 2.

A number of measures have been implemented in the CR, leading to a decrease in GHG emissions. These include measures limited to certain specific subject or sector (e.g. the State program for energy savings and use of renewable energy sources, etc.), as well as framework measures (e.g. the National Program to Mitigate Climate Change). The majority of these measures were primarily oriented towards energy efficiency and/or air quality (clean air) and the GHG/CO\textsubscript{2} emissions reduction was a side effect only. The EU Emission Trading System (EU ETS), established recently, represents the first measure oriented primarily on greenhouse gas emissions reduction. At the same time it must be stated that the emissions reduction achieved in the energy and industrial sectors are – to a significant extent - negated by the increase of CO\textsubscript{2} emissions generated by transport.

Notwithstanding the achieved emissions reduction since 1990, some relative indicators show high GHG emission figures for the CR, e.g. emissions per capita or per GDP unit (see Figure 3). It is mainly due to the wide use of brown coal, the relatively low energy efficiency and the relatively low GDP level. This is another reason why it is very important to reduce GHG emissions in the CR.

3. CO\textsubscript{2} emissions from individual facilities covered by EU ETS

For the 1\textsuperscript{st} period of EU ETS (2005-2007), about 97 mill. allowances were annually allocated by NAP I (First national allocation plan) among Czech facilities (installations) which are taking part in EU ETS. In general, amount of allowances allocated per year were above the real amount of monitored, verified and reported emissions in years 2005 (82.5 mill. t.) and 2006 (83.7 mill. t.) and it will probably be the same case in 2007. For the 2\textsuperscript{nd} period of EU ETS (2008-2012), about 86.8 mill. allowances will be annually allocated by NAP II (Second national allocation plan).

There are a few reasons of difference between reality and NAP I:
- The amount of allocated allowances includes also bonuses for "early actions" and other bonuses which increase the number of allowances in comparison to historical emissions;
- Real emissions are positively affected by intentional saving measures (usage of biomass, natural gas etc.) in order to sell surplus allowances;
Allowances are allocated for three-year period, which reflects the expectation of gradual economic growth and real emissions were monitored only for the first year of this period;

- Real emissions were probably generally underestimated and/or data used in NAP preparation were overestimated.

In the following paragraphs we use two approaches of representing CO₂ emissions from individual facilities:

I Emissions approximated by amount of allocated allowances per year by NAP for the period 2005 – 2007;

II Emissions expressed as really monitored, verified and reported in 2005.

Approach I: Emissions approximated by allocated allowances

According to the Czech national GHG inventory (CHMI, 2006), annual CO₂ emissions from the whole area of the Czech Republic were approximately 127 million in 2004. More than 76% of it (97 mill. t) are covered by stationary industrial point sources. To obtain informa-
tion about geographical distribution of CO₂ emissions, an inventory of emission point sources bigger than 100 000 t CO₂ per year has been created. These sources are responsible for 91 mill. t of annual CO₂ emissions which represents 71.7 % of total Czech CO₂ emissions and 93.8 % of emissions from stationary point sources (see Tab.1).

Data sources for establishing CO₂ emissions from individual stationary sources were in this case (Approach I):

- First National Allocation Plan of the Czech Republic (for the EU Emission Trading Scheme);

Both data sources are open to public. The First National Allocation Plan (NAP I) has been prepared by the Ministry of Environment, in cooperation with the Ministry of Industry and Trade, based on the data obtained by the Czech Hydrometeorological Institute. The Integrated Pollution Register (IRZ) has been set up by the Ministry of Environment and is run by the Czech Environmental Information Agency (CENIA).

Emission data from the National Allocation Plan (NAP) should represent a suitable assessment of CO₂ emissions which was performed for 91 mill. t of annual CO₂ emissions which represents 71.7 % of total Czech CO₂ emissions and 93.8 % of emissions from stationary point sources (see Tab.1).

Tab. 1 Overview of CO₂ emissions in the Czech Republic (Approach I)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of emissions</th>
<th>mill. t/yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total CO₂ emissions from the whole area of the Czech Republic</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions from sources covered by EU Emission Trading System (NAP)</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions from facilities emitting more than 100 kt CO₂ per year</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions from facilities emitting more than 500 kt CO₂ per year</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions from facilities emitting more than 1 Mt CO₂ per year</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 2 Share of industry sectors in total CO₂ emissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Emissions [t/yr]</th>
<th>Share [%]</th>
<th>Number of facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>55 243 423</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>10 335 207</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals (other)</td>
<td>5 373 587</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refineries</td>
<td>1 317 766</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron and Steel</td>
<td>12 597 603</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper and pulp</td>
<td>778 672</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>2 974 603</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>2 186 609</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>90 807 470</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>87</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* lime, glass, coke production, natural gas compress station

Nevertheless, two exceptions could be identified (= “good opportunities for carbon capture”) where the CO₂ concentration is much higher. These sources are marked as “Early opportunities” in the map in Fig.4.

The first case is the ammonia production at Chemopetrol, a.s., Litvínov. The process includes production of hydrogen by gasification of residual fuel oil by steam oxygen mixture. Gasification is followed by the shift reaction: CO + H₂O = CO₂ + H₂. CO₂ is separated from H₂ by low temperature absorption (RECTISOL), H₂ is used for ammonia synthesis by reaction with N₂. The assumed amount of CO₂ produced is about 700 kt per year. This source seems to be very perspective for CO₂ a pilot capture and storage application.

The second case is the Sokolovská uhelná, a.s. plant in Vřesová, consisting of two sources: (i) classical heating plant emitting about 60 % of total CO₂ amount and (ii) steam-gas cycle power plant, emitting the remaining 40 % of CO₂, based on gasification of brown coal by steam–oxygen mixture. Even in this case about 700 kt CO₂ per year are captured by the RECTISOL process and after that released to the atmosphere.

Approach II: Real CO₂ emissions from facilities covered by EU ETS in 2005

CO₂ emissions from individual facilities (installations) covered by EU ETS are monitored and reported according to Decree of the Ministry of Environment No. 696/2004 that represents the Czech implementation of the Decision of European Commission No. 2004/156/EC which is generally called “Monitoring and Reporting Guidelines”. Monitoring is based mostly on calculations from consumption of individual fuels (or material) and from relevant emissions factors (Fott et al., 2006). Before submission to the “competent authority” (Ministry of Environment) the report on monitoring has to be verified pursuant to Act No. 695/2004 Coll. that is Czech implementation of Directive 2003/87/EC generally called as “Directive on EU ETS”.

Similar emission statistics as presented for Approach I are given in Tables 3 and 4.
Fig. 4 Map of CO₂ emission sources in the Czech Republic (pointspurces emitting more than 100 kt CO₂/year)

Tab. 3 – Overview of CO₂ emissions in the Czech Republic (Approach II)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of emissions</th>
<th>mill. t / yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total CO₂ emissions from the whole area of the Czech Republic, National Inventory</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions from sources covered by EU Emission Trading System (2005)</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions from facilities emitting more than 100 kt CO₂ per year</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions from facilities emitting more than 500 kt CO₂ per year</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ emissions from facilities emitting more than 1 Mt CO₂ per year</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 4 – Share of industrial sectors in CO₂ emissions covered by ETS (Approach II)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Emissions [t/yr]</th>
<th>Share [%]</th>
<th>Number of facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>49 145 177</td>
<td>63,0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat</td>
<td>8 849 058</td>
<td>11,3</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals (other)</td>
<td>4 540 421</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refineries</td>
<td>969 327</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron and Steel</td>
<td>9 866 977</td>
<td>12,6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper and pulp</td>
<td>454 158</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>2 553 038</td>
<td>3,3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other*</td>
<td>1 652 271</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>78 030 427</strong></td>
<td><strong>100,0</strong></td>
<td><strong>87</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* lime, glass, coke production, natural gas compress station

4. General geology – sedimentary basins

The territory of the Czech Republic belongs to the Bohemian Massif (western part) and the Carpathians (eastern part). A significant part of the area is formed of crystalline rocks. Nevertheless, several major sedimentary formations, representing potentially suitable CO₂ storage areas, can be found (Misaf et al., 1983; Picha et al., 2006):

Tertiary:
- Vienna Basin (Czech part) is located in SE part of the Czech Republic. The age of the sediments is Miocene (from Eggenburg to Pontian). This basin is the oldest oil and gas industry area in the CR.
- Carpathian Foredeep (Czech part) is a narrow basin oriented SW – NE, limited from SE by the Carpathian Flysch Zone. The sediments are of Miocene origin (Ottangian? - Karpathian – Badenian). Some oil & gas structures can be found here.
- Carpathian Flysch Zone is located at the Czech – Slovak border. It is composed of folded Carpathian nappes (mainly Tertiary sandstones and claystones). The basement of the napes is formed by Miocene sediments of the Carpathian Foredeep. Below them, Mesozoic and Palaeozoic sediments can be found. In some parts, however, the pre-Tertiary basement of the napes is formed by crystalline rocks. All the autochthonous sediment formations, as well as the weathered parts of the crystalline complexes represent important oil & gas and aquifer structures.
Sub-Krúsohory Mountains Basins comprise 3 basins - Cheb, Sokolov and North Bohemian Basin. The sediments are of Eocene, Oligocene and lower Miocene age. The basement of these basins is composed of Carboniferous and Cretaceous sediments. Active brown coal open pits are located in the Sokolov Basin and in the North Bohemian Basin. In general, the basins are too shallow and do not include structures suitable for storage of CO₂.

Tertiary and Cretaceous:
- South Bohemian Basins comprise 2 basins - Budejovice and Trebon - in the south of the CR. Their sediments are of Cretaceous and Miocene origin. Small brown coal open pits were located in the Budejovice Basin (no more active). The basins are too shallow for CO₂ storage purposes.

Cretaceous:
- Bohemian Cretaceous Basin is one of the largest basins in the CR. It is located in the middle of the Bohemian Massif. The sediments are of Cenomanian – Santonian age. This basin is an important groundwater reservoir and as such it is not suitable for CO₂ storage. The basement of the basin is partly built of Permian-Carboniferous sediments (see below) with interesting aquifer structures and coal measures.

Permian-Carboniferous:
- Lower Silesian Basin (Czech part) is located in the north of the CR. The sediments are of Lower Carboniferous (Tournaian) – Upper Permian age. Coal mining activity was stopped here in the early 1970s. The basin contains an interesting aquifer structure for potential CO₂ storage.

- Central Bohemian Basins represent a chain of typical limnic basins, located along the line Plzéň – Prague - Mladá Boleslav. Coal mines were located mainly in the Plzieň Basin, the Rakovník Basin and the Kladno Basin. The last coal mine in this area was closed in 2003 (in the Kladno Basin). The sediments are of Upper Carboniferous (Westphalian) – Lower Permian origin. The Permian-Carboniferous sediments continue from Central Bohemia to the Lower Silesian Basin under the Cretaceous sediments of the Bohemian Cretaceous Basin. Several promising aquifers as well as coal-bearing structures are located within this stratigraphic unit.

Carboniferous:
- Upper Silesian Basin (Czech part) is located in the NE of the CR. Its sediments originate from Tournaian – Westphalian. There are two typical coal layer complexes in the Czech part of the Upper Silesian Basin: Ostrava layers and Karvina layers. Nowadays, this basin is the only pit coal producing area in the CR. This area has a big potential for enhanced coal-bed methane recovery. The Carboniferous filling of Upper Silesian Basin is mostly covered by Neogene sediments and Carpathian flysch.

The general assessment of the sedimentary basins described above shows that all the three generally recognized options for geological storage of CO₂ (deep saline aquifers, hydrocarbon fields and unmined coal seams) are available on the Czech territory.

5. Storage options

5.1. Aquifers

5.1.1. General description

Regarding aquifers, the attention was aimed at vertically closed structures with sufficient sealing and significant pore volume capacity. Altogether, 22 potentially suitable structures were identified, 17 of them in the Carpathians (eastern part of the country) and 5 in sedimentary basins of the Bohemian Massif. Due to the long-term hydrocarbon exploration, the knowledge of Carpathian structures and their properties is much better than that one of the Bohemian Massif aquifers.

The geographical distribution of the structures considered is shown in Fig. 5.

The Carpathian aquifers are situated in the Carpathian Foredeep (Vlkol – Lobodice, Mušov, Drnholec, Iváň, Vlasatice) and in the Flysch Zone (Koryčany, Osvětimany – Stupava, Zdounky, Bařice, Rusava, Kozlovice – Lhotka, Frýdlant nad Ostravicí, Vyšné Lhoty – Morávka, Mikulov, Nosíslav – Nikolčice, Kobylice, Kobylí). Promising structures were selected on the base of a former study aimed at identification of aquifers suitable for underground natural gas storage sites (Müller et al., 2000).

Reservoir rocks of the Foredeep aquifers are Lower Miocene sandstones while the seal consists of Upper Miocene claystones. In the Flysch Zone, aquifers are situated mainly in Miocene sandstones except for the structures of Kobylí (Paleocene and Miocene sandstones), Mikulov (Jurassic limestones) and 3 structures in the North (Kozlovice – Lhotka, Frýdlant nad Ostravici, Vyšní Lhoty – Morávka) where Upper Carboniferous and Miocene sandstones appear. Carpathian Flysch nappes (with claystone layers) form structure sealing in all cases.

The Bohemian Massif aquifers (Žatec, Roudnice, Mnichovo Hradiště, Nová Paka, Police) are situated in Permian-Carboniferous Central Bohemian and Lower Silesian basins, partly covered by thick sediments of the Bohemian Cretaceous Basin. Reservoir rocks are mainly Upper Carboniferous (Stephanian) sandstones and arcoses while the seal is usually formed by overlying Lower Permian (Autunian) claystones. The structures are quite complicated and information about their properties is lacking in large areas. Therefore, the delineation of the aquifers is not so precise as of those ones in the Carpathians and the calculated storage capacities must be considered as rough estimates only. On the other hand, the geographical position of Bohemian aquifers (close to the biggest CO₂ emission sources) is very suitable from the CO₂ storage point of view.
5.1.2. Estimated storage capacity

The storage capacity calculations were based on the volumetric approach. Structure area, average thickness and average porosity were used for pore volume determination, according to the formula (e.g., Brook et al., 2003; Bachu et al., 2007; Bachu, 2008):

$$M_{\text{CO}_2} = S \times t \times p \times \rho_{\text{CO}_2} \times (1 - S_w) \times \text{RF},$$

where $M_{\text{CO}_2}$ is the effective CO$_2$ storage capacity, $S$ – area, $t$ – average thickness of aquifer layers, $p$ – average...
porosity of aquifer layers, $\rho_{CO_2}$ – CO$_2$ density under aquifer conditions (depends on pressure and temperature), $S_w$ – irreducible water saturation, RF – recovery factor (capacity coefficient)

Porosity values used for the calculations were derived from well-log data available. In the Carpathians, the porosity ranges from 5 to 20 %, while in the Bohemian Massif it is considerably lower (up to 6 %, considering the complex structure of the layers). The average CO$_2$ density value of 630 kg/m$^3$ (corresponding to the typical pressure and temperature conditions of the aquifers) was used for all structures. The recovery factor used for calculation of the effective storage volume was 6 % for Bohemian regional aquifers and 40 % for Carpathian structures.

The list and main parameters of the aquifers considered is shown in Table 5. The total storage capacity has been assessed at about 2863 Mt CO$_2$. This means that aquifer structures represent the most important CO$_2$ storage option in the Czech Republic.

5.2. Hydrocarbon fields

5.2.1. Exploration and production history, stratigraphy, distribution, Ultimate Recovery

Hydrocarbon exploration history in the CR started in 1900 when the first well called “Helena” was finished. This well was located in the Carpathian Flysch Zone (Bohuslavice nad Vláši) and reached a depth of 450 m with some gas shows. In the Vienna Basin (near Hodonín), another well was drilled in the same year (final depth 217 m, gas and oil shows recognized). The first really positive well was finished near Slavkov (Carpathian Flysch Zone) in 1908 and produced gas from 500 m depth for a local sugar factory until 1920. The first oil reservoir was opened in 1920 in the Vienna Basin (near Hodonín). The first production well produced oil from 313 - 338 m depth and the daily production of the field was about 80 t in 1925 (Bednáříková et al., 1984).

At present, there are more than 40 oil and gas producing fields in the CR, registered by Czech Geological Survey - Geofond. All of them are located in the eastern part of the CR, in the Carpathians (Vienna Basin, Carpathian Foredeep, Carpathian Flysch Zone). From the stratigraphic point of view, Miocene sediments represent the reservoir rocks in all these areas. In the Carpathian Flysch Zone, oil & gas can be found in Mesozoic and Paleozoic sediments as well. In some cases, hydrocarbon reservoirs are situated in weathered crystalline complexes.

Some of the reservoirs are depleted, especially the shallow structures in the Vienna Basin. Deeper structures in the Vienna Basin are still producing, as e.g. the fields Hrušky (oil and gas), Týnec (oil), Lanžhot (oil), Poddvorov (oil and gas), Vracov (oil), Lužice (oil), Poštorná (oil) and Prušánky (oil and gas). Most of the structures in the Vienna Basin represent tectonic traps.

Most of the gas reservoirs located in the Carpathian Foredeep are depleted and some of them have been transformed into natural gas underground storage sites (e.g. Dolní Dunajovice).

Nowadays, the most important producing oil and gas reservoirs are located in the Carpathian Flysch Zone. The majority of Czech oil production is coming from the Dambořice – Uhřice and Zarošíce fields (tectonic traps in combination with elevation). Production layers are sediments building the basement of Flysch nappes, i.e. Jurassic and Paleozoic. There are also some small gas fields in Miocene sediments (Ždánice area) and small oil reservoirs in the crystalline basement (Ždánice, Lubná - Kostelany) there. In 2004, the Janovice gas structure (Miocene – tectonic trap) in the northern part of the Flysch Zone was reopened.

The fields Dambořice – Uhřice and Zarošíce produced both together 267 thousand m$^3$ of oil in the year 2006, it is about 86 % of the cumulative oil production of the Czech Republic (311 th. m$^3$ in 2006). The main gas producing field (except CBM production from Upper Silesian Basin) is now the Kloboučky field located in Ždánice area, Carpathian Flysch Zone, with production about 20 millions m$^3$ in the year 2006 (cumulative national gas production including CBM in 2006 was 222 mill. m$^3$). Domestic production of crude oil and natural gas in the Czech Republic represents only 3 %, resp. 2 % of the domestic consumption (oil - 9,067 th. m$^3$, gas - 9,804 mill. m$^3$ in 2006; Czech Statistical Office, 2008).

5.2.2. EOR possibilities

The first EOR attempts (using various media) in the CR were performed in the 1960s and 1970s. The most important was the water-flooding into oil reservoirs of the Hrusky field. During the years 1964 – 1974 more then 1 million m$^3$ of water has been injected. The EOR effect has been calculated at about 12 %. Nowadays, the Dambořice – Uhřice oil & gas field is being transformed into a natural gas storage and natural gas injection helps to increase the oil production. Unfortunately, the domestic operator (MND) does not publish more detailed information.

Nevertheless, many of the partly depleted oil fields in the Vienna Basin and the Carpathian Flysch Zone are suitable for CO$_2$-EOR. Their operator shows interest in using this technology in future but, until now, no suitable source of CO$_2$ has been available.

5.2.3. Estimated storage capacity

For the calculation of the CO$_2$ storage capacity of oil and gas reservoirs, 6 major hydrocarbon fields were considered. 4 of them are situated in the Carpathian Flysch Zone (Lubná – Kostelany, Ždánice, Dambořice – Uhřice, Žarošíce) while the 2 remaining belong to the Vienna Basin (Hrušky and Poddvorov). The size of other reservoirs is too small and their storage capacity can be regarded as negligible.

The storage capacity calculations were based on the volumetric approach. The basic assumption is that the theoretical capacity for CO$_2$ storage in hydrocarbon reservoirs equals to the volume previously occupied by the produced oil and gas (Gozalpour et al., 2005, Bachu et al., 2007). To determine this volume, the Ultimate
Tab. 5 – Selected aquifers of the Czech Republic and their basic parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure name</th>
<th>Stratigraphic unit</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Depth of structure top [m]</th>
<th>CO₂ storage capacity [Mt]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kobeřice</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>1 300</td>
<td>43.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nosislav - Niklčice</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>82.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vlášatice</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mušov</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iváň</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>81.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drnholc</td>
<td>Jurassic</td>
<td>limestone</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mikulov</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>1 005</td>
<td>31.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kobyli</td>
<td>Paleocene - Miocene</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>1 100</td>
<td>317.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zdounky</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>1 115</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bařice</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>1 115</td>
<td>56.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vlkůš - Lobodice</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>270.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rusava</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>1 055</td>
<td>66.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kozlovic - Lhotka</td>
<td>Upper Carboniferous</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>48.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frýdlant nad Ostravicí</td>
<td>Upper Carboniferous</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>62.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vyšní Lhota - Morávka</td>
<td>Upper Carboniferous</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koryčany</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>1 365</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osvětítany - Stupava</td>
<td>Miocene</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>1 585</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Žatec</td>
<td>Upper Carboniferous</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>450.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roudnice</td>
<td>Upper Carboniferous</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>872.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mníchovo Hradiště</td>
<td>Upper Carboniferous</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>1 100</td>
<td>274.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nová Paka</td>
<td>Upper Carboniferous</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police</td>
<td>Upper Carboniferous</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>1 200</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2 862.9</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 6 – Major hydrocarbon fields of the Czech Republic and their basic parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field name</th>
<th>Stratigraphic unit</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Depth [m]</th>
<th>Ultimate Recovery - oil [mill m³]</th>
<th>Ultimate Recovery - gas [mill m³]</th>
<th>CO₂ storage capacity [Mt]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hrušky</td>
<td>Miocene – Badenian</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>1 320</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2 099</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poddvorov</td>
<td>Miocene – Sarmatian</td>
<td>sandstone</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubná – Kostelany</td>
<td>Cambrian</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>1 300</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ždánice</td>
<td>Cambrian &amp; Miocene</td>
<td>granite, sandstone</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dambořice – Uhřice</td>
<td>Carboniferous &amp; Jurassic</td>
<td>sandstone, carbonates</td>
<td>1 900</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Žarošice</td>
<td>Jurassic</td>
<td>carbonates</td>
<td>1 680</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>6.43</strong></td>
<td><strong>4 729</strong></td>
<td><strong>32.7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recovery /UR/ figures (i.e. previous production + proven recoverable reserves), both for oil and for gas, were used. In the case of oil, the 1:1 ratio was used to convert the volume of UR oil into reservoir pore volume ready to store CO₂. In the case of natural gas, the Bg factor (ratio between gas volume under surface conditions and its volume under reservoir conditions) was used to calculate the original pore volume occupied by natural gas in the reservoir. The average value of Bg = 0.0095 (kindly recommended by RWE-Transgas, the domestic natural gas storage operator) was used for the calculations. To convert the calculated pore volume into CO₂ storage capacity, the average CO₂ density value of 630 kg/m³ (corresponding to the typical pressure and temperature conditions of the reservoirs) was used for all structures.

The list and main parameters of the structures considered is shown in Table 6. The total storage capacity of the 6 major fields has been assessed at about 32.7 Mt CO₂. This means that hydrocarbon structures do not play a significant role as potential CO₂ storage site but, on the other hand, they represent an interesting potential from the EOR point of view.

The geographical distribution of the oil & gas fields considered is shown in Fig. 6.
Fig. 7 Location of major hydrocarbon fields of the Czech Republic

Fig. 8 Location of major coal measures with ECBMR potential in the Czech Republic
5.3. Coal measures

History of coal mining in the CR is very rich but the majority of Czech pit coal collieries has been recently closed. At present, pit coal collieries are located in the Upper Silesian Basin only. For the purposes of CO2 storage, however, the unmined pit coal measures are interesting, especially due to the enhanced coal bed methane recovery (ECBMR) possibilities. Such structures can be found in large parts of the Upper Silesian Basin and in Permo-Carboniferous Central Bohemian Basins (see Fig. 7).

Upper Silesian Basin (USB) is a large and complex paralic – limnic sedimentary structure stretching from NE part of Czechia far into Poland. In the northern part of the Czech portion of USB, extensive coal mining activity has been carried out while the southern and south-eastern parts (deeper and partly covered by Carpathian Flysch) remained unmined. Coal layers are of Namurian and Westphalian age; the overlying Miocene sediments as well as the Flysch nappes can be considered as seals. For the purposes of this study, the basin was handled as one structure. The estimation for the whole area of the Czech part of USB is at minimum 100 milliards (US billions) m^3 CBM (Durica et al, 2006). To be able to refine the first rough CO2 storage capacity estimate and assess the ECBMR potential, it will be necessary to divide the basin into several parts according to geological conditions. This work is planned to be done within follow-up research projects.

The Central Bohemian structures (Slaný, Peruc, Mělník – Benátky) represent typical limnic coal basins. Substantial coal layers are of Westphalian age and the seal is composed of claystones (Westphalian to Stephanian sedimentation). All of these 3 structures were subject of geophysical and geological exploration in the 1970s and 1980s (e.g. Žbánek et al. 1978, 1988 & 1991). However, the plans to open new pit coal collieries in these areas have not become reality.

The storage volume calculations were based on the assessments of total producible gas in place (PGIP) that were made within the framework of coal bed methane studies between 1990 – 2003 (EPA report, 1992; Bódi et al., 1992; Hemza, 2000; Ďurica & Müller, 2003; Ďurica et al. 2006), using the formula:

\[ Q = M \times p \times k \times c \]

where \( Q \) = PGIP (m³), \( M \) = pure coal mass (t), \( p \) = real methane content (m³ per tonne of coal), \( k \) = recovery factor, and \( c \) = completion factor. A simple exchange ratio 1:2, i.e. 1 molecule of CH₄ is replaced by 2 molecules of CO₂, suggested e.g. by van Bergen & Wildenborg (2002) and so called CO₂ normal condition density was used for the rough estimations of CO₂ storage capacities.

The list and main parameters of the structures considered are shown in Table 7. The total storage capacity of the selected unmined coal measures has been assessed at about 408 Mt CO₂. This volume represents an interesting storage potential, especially if the ECBMR possibilities are taken into account.

6. First estimate of country-wide storage capacity

Based on the results described in Chapter 5, the first rough estimate of CO₂ storage capacity in geological structures of the CR was made (see Tab. 8).

Compared with the CO₂ emission data (82 Mt/yr from stationary industrial point sources, see Tab. 3) and taking into account the 1.3 capture loss coefficient, the selected geological structures would hold all industrial CO₂ emissions of the country produced during 30.9 years (provided the emission level of 2005-7 will not change).

Tab. 7 Major coal measures with ECBMR potential in the Czech Republic and their basic parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Stratigraphy</th>
<th>Depth of structure top [m]</th>
<th>Total PGIP* [mill. m³]</th>
<th>CO₂ storage capacity [Mt]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper Silesian Basin</td>
<td>Carboniferous - Namurian</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>100 000</td>
<td>380.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slaný</td>
<td>Carboniferous – Westphalian C</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1 778</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peruc</td>
<td>Carboniferous – Westphalian C</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>2 840</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mělník - Benátky</td>
<td>Carboniferous – Westphalian C</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>2 670</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>407.7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PGIP = producible gas in place

Tab. 8 Overview of CO₂ storage options in the Czech Republic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure type</th>
<th>Total CO₂ storage capacity [Mt]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aquifers</td>
<td>2 862.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocarbon fields</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal measures</td>
<td>407.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3 303.3</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Conclusions

Despite the fact that protection of the climate system of the Earth is a top-priority environmental issue in the Czech Republic, the public awareness of the CO₂ capture and storage (CCS) technology is generally low. Until now, the research pioneers (especially the Czech Geological Survey and a few others) were able to start building the awareness of CCS as a viable climate change mitigation option among environmental policy decision makers (Ministry of Environment, etc.) and the geological research community. This long-term effort is, however, at its beginning and much work has still to be done.
The first estimate of country-wide CO₂ storage capacity, compiled within this study, is one of the most important steps on this way. The calculated volume of ca. 3300 Mt CO₂ shows that geological structures are able to hold the entire volume of the CO₂ industrial emissions for more than 3 decades. Another positive conclusion of the study is that prospective storage structures could be found relatively close to the biggest CO₂ emission sources (e.g. aquifers of the Central Bohemian Basins, see chapter 5.1).

Aquifers represent an overwhelming majority (86.7 %) of the storage capacity but in some cases (especially in the Bohemian Massif) the level of knowledge of the structures is quite low and additional data would be needed before a CO₂ storage project might be started. The share of coal measures (12.3 %) and hydrocarbon fields (1.0 %) in the total storage capacity is quite low. These options, however, are interesting from the economical point of view because they might be connected with enhanced hydrocarbon recovery.

The Czech National Program to Abate the Climate Change Impacts in the Czech Republic declares ambitious emission reduction targets, exceeding the Kyoto protocol commitments - to reduce CO₂ emissions per capita by 30 % until 2020 compared to 2000, to reduce total aggregate CO₂ emissions by 25 % in 2020 compared to 2000 and to keep at the commenced trend to 2030. Considering these ambitions, it is clear that development and application of new advanced technologies, including CO₂ capture and geological storage, is inevitable. To prepare a reliable ground for political decisions, further research in the area of geological storage of CO₂ is needed, incl. selection of the most prospective sites, case studies and potentially a pilot project.
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Abstract. In CO₂ emissions inventory for Bulgaria are included all 42 large industrial sources (>0.1 Mt/year). The Energy sector gives the largest portion of country CO₂ emissions - 33.7 Mt/y or almost 65 %. The thermal power plants produced 25.3 Mt/y (48.5 %) and combined power & heating plants – 8.4 Mt/y (16.1 %). In the country there are 4 zones with a high concentration of industrial CO₂ sources and emissions - they produced totally 46 Mt/y CO₂ which equates to 88 % of all industrial CO₂ emissions.

The large presence of thick sedimentary succession and the high exploration rate of Northern Bulgaria are favourable preconditions for assessment of CO₂ storage opportunities and development of CO₂ storage activities. In Southern Bulgaria the sedimentary spreading is restricted in area and thickness and related with numerous small intra-mountain young basins.

The presented Bulgarian CO₂ storage capacity estimation is based on large data base, including mainly original seismic and borehole results, integrated with our knowledge on the subsurface and with a unified way of calculating the capacity in HC fields, aquifers and coal beds, accepted in the frame of EU GeoCapacity project.

The largest capacity of potential CO₂ storage options in Bulgaria related with aquifers, coal fields have considerably less opportunities, while the possibilities to use depleted hydrocarbon fields practically there are not.

The main problem for the CO₂ geological storage in Bulgarian is that the selected and estimated country storage options are located far from major CO₂ sources. Main storage capacities related to Northern Bulgaria, while the main concentrations of country industrial CO₂ emissions are mainly in Southern Bulgaria.

Key words: Bulgaria, CO₂ emissions, Geological storage options, Storage capacity

Introduction

Bulgaria is a small country in SE Europe - occupies 110 994 km² of territory on the Balkan Peninsula and has population about 8 millions. According to Kyoto Agreement ratified in 2002, Bulgaria is responsible to reduce CO₂ equivalent emissions with 8 % as compared to the base 1988 year, when were recorded 100.28 Mt/year. Reduction of 8 % means 8.02 Mt, so the Kyoto limit of 92.261 Mt/year CO₂ equivalent emissions should be achieved in the 2008 – 2012 period.

The industrial collapse in the country after democratic changes in 1990 has reduced significantly the produced CO₂ emissions and they became vastly less than the fixed Kyoto limit. However, if the Government intentions to turn Bulgaria into energy centre on the Balkan Peninsula take place, the emissions from new build thermal power and industrial plants will increase significantly and may reach and exceed the Kyoto limit of 92 Mt/year.

The large presence of thick sedimentary succession in Bulgaria and the high exploration rate of Northern Bulgaria are favourable preconditions for assessment of CO₂ storage opportunities and development of CO₂ storage activities.

The first estimation of CO₂ storage capacity for Bulgaria was made as a part of the CASTOR project (2004-2005). This assessment has been updated, enlarged and elaborated in the frame of EU GeoCapacity project (2006-2008).

CO₂ emissions inventory

The industrial CO₂ emissions in Bulgaria from all 42 large point sources (>0.1 Mt/year) are 52.2 Mt/y (estimation in 2006). Total number of monitored combustion plants (CP) in the country is larger, about 130. However, most of them have yearly CO₂ emissions less then 0.1 Mt/y and their total CO₂ amount is not more than 4-5 % of annual industrial CO₂ production in the country. Fig. 1 shows the distribution of all 42 large industrial CO₂ sources included in the estimation and the basic concentrations of CO₂ emissions in Bulgaria.

Generally 7 industrial groups of CO₂ emissions exist in the country. They are: I - Power (5 plants); II - Power & Heating (13 plants); III - Refineries (1); IV - Iron & Steel (4 plants); V - Cement (5 plants); VI - Ammonia & Chemicals (10 plants) and VII – Others, mainly lime & ceramics (4 plants). Their contribution to country CO₂ industrial emissions is seen from Fig. 2.

The Energy sector, incorporating the first two groups, gives the largest portion of country CO₂ emissions – 33.7 Mt/y or almost 65 %. The thermal power plants
produced 25.3 Mt/y (48.5 %) and combined power & heating plants – 8.4 Mt/y (16.1 %). For thermal power plants, the basic fuel is coal from local mines or imported, while the combined power & heating plants use mainly gas.

The largest CO₂ emitters in the country are all 5 thermal power plants, the refinery near to Bourgas and the steel plant near to Sofia (Fig. 1). They produced totally 36.7 Mt/y CO₂ or 70 % from country CO₂ industrial emissions.

In Bulgaria there are 4 zones with a high concentration of industrial CO₂ sources and emissions - they produced totally 46 Mt/y CO₂ which equates to 88 % of all country CO₂ emissions (Fig. 1). The highest CO₂ concentration (20.1 Mt/y or 38.5 %) is located in the middle of Southern Bulgaria and related with the largest producing coal field “Maritza East”. This zone comprises 3 of the largest thermal power plants, as well as some other big industrial emitters. The other 3 zones related with Sofia-Pernik, Varna and Bourgas areas.

In general for the country the number of larger CO₂ emitters and the amount of produced CO₂ are much bigger in Southern Bulgarian (Fig. 1).

**Geological settings**

Bulgaria has an extensively varied and complex geological structure (Atanasov et al. 1984; Georgiev & Dabovski, 1997). Several major tectonic units are recognized, they are: Moesian platform, Alpine thrust-folded belt with Tertiary foredeep (named Kamchiya depression), Sakar and Strandzha orogenic zones and a system of small syn- to post-orogenic Tertiary extensional basins (Figs 3, 4, 5, 6, 7). In addition, the offshore area covers and some parts of the western periphery of the Western Black Sea basin.

Two branches of Alpine orogenic belt and their foreland can be seen in Bulgaria (Fig. 3). The northern branch, represented by the Balkanides (Balkan and Forebalkan), crosses the country in the middle from west to east; Moesian platform is foreland. The southern branch comprises the Kraishtides, Srednogorie and Rhodope massif and could be considered as a pre-Alpine basement of the Balkanides (Georgiev & Dabovski, 1997).
The Moesian Platform, which southern part extended in Northern Bulgaria, forming the foreland of the Balkan thrust-folded belt, is composed of up to 4-5 km thick relatively un-deformed, dominantly shallow-marine Mesozoic sediments, that rest on a gently folded Palaeozoic basement (Figs 4, 5, 6, 7). Totally, the Phanerozoic sedimentary succession in Moesian platform has a thickness of 4-13 km. Major unconformities, occurring at the base of the Triassic, Jurassic, Upper Cretaceous and Eocene (Fig. 8), are related to major compressional events within the Alpine thrust belt.

Five sedimentary zones (tectonic units) with increased sedimentary thickness are present in Bulgarian part of Moesian platform (Fig. 4):

- The Southern Moesian platform margin (SMPM) subsided with accelerates rates during the Jurassic-Early Cretaceous time (Figs 5, 6, 8).
- The Lom basin has a similar evolution as SMPM, but the accelerate subsidence in it continuing during the Tertiary also (Figs 3, 4, 5). By these features the Lom basin is considered lately as a westernmost zone of SMPM (Georgiev & Dabovski - 1997).
- The Alexandria basin, which small SE part is spread in Bulgaria, developed during the Middle-Late Triassic.
- The Varna basin actually represent the eastern slope of North Bulgarian arch, which was involved in accelerate subsidence mainly during the Triassic and Late Cretaceous – Tertiary (Figs 4, 7).
- The Kamchija basin corresponds to a post-Middle Eocene foredeep that is filled with late Eocene-Oligocene and Neogene clastics and clays. Towards the East, this foredeep deepens and merges offshore with the Western Black Sea basin.

The northern branch of Alpine orogenic belt (Balkan–Forebalkan) consists of a stack of dominantly north-verging thrust sheets (Figs 3, 5, 6), formed during long displaying multiphase compression, which began in the Late Triassic and culminated toward the end of the Early Cretaceous and to the end of the early Middle Eocene (Georgiev & Dabovski, 1997).

The Balkan (Stara Planina) thrust-folded belt is a major tectonic unit in Bulgaria, which is a comparatively narrow stripe, consisting of strongly folded and northward over-thrusted Mesozoic and early Tertiary sediments (Figs 3, 5, 6). The Western Balkan is composed mainly by Paleozoic and Triassic mixed sedimentary, metamorphic and magmatic sequences, the tectonic style is higher (Figs 3, 5). While, in the Eastern Balkan dominated the Upper Cretaceous and Paleogene sedimentary sequences, the tectonic style is lower (Figs 3, 6, 8).

The Forebalkan is the northernmost unit of the Northern Alpine orogenic belt, through which the structural transition between Balkan and Moesian platform takes place (Figs 3, 5, 6). Tectonically this unit is closer to Balkan, only it has lower style. However, by sedimentary succession it is closer to Moesian platform, what means that it is the southernmost strip of SMPM, which is folded by northward-directed orogeny in the Balkan. A series of en-echelon narrow folds were thrust over the SMPM.
In the Forebalkan are distinguished three longitudinal units by differences in sedimentary succession, tectonic and morphologic features (Georgiev et al., 1993). They are separated by transverse oriented small depressions. The Western Forebalkan is typical post-platform orogen (Bokov, 1968), made-up during the Illyrian tectonic phase in Middle Eocene. In the Central Forebalkan a very thick (up to 3 km and more) Upper Jurassic flysch sequence controlled the thrust-tectonic processes in Mid Cretaceous (Austrian phase) and Middle Eocene (Illyrian phase). Eastern Forebalkan is structurally shaped by salt tectonics during mainly the Mid Cretaceous (Austrian phase) in the Upper Triassic evaporates, thick above 1000 m (Georgiev, 1996).

The Rhodope Massif is dominating by high-metamorphic and magmatic rocks. Recent concepts consider it to be made up of several overlapping nappes.

The Srednogorie is separated from the Balkanides to north and from the Rhodope Massif to south by Early Alpine wrench and normal faults. This zone contains two large groups of rocks: the lower one consists mainly of metamorphics (amphibolites, gneisses, gneisses, marbles), the upper one consists of rhythmic volcano-clastic sequences and molasses thick of over 3000 m.

The zone of Kraistides belongs to southern Alpine orogenic branch in Bulgaria. It is considered by many Bulgarian geologists as a lineament of high ranking folding, wrenching and faulting. This zone is one of the most seismically active on the Balkan Peninsula.

The Strandzha unit is the southernmost, topmost basement-cover nappe of Eastern Bulgaria. Its basement is composed of Precambrian high-grade and Palaeozoic low-grade metamorphic rocks. The sedimentary cover comprises Triassic platform carbonates and Lower-Middle Jurassic sandy-calcareous and shaly-silty series (autochthonous), which are topped by slices of exotic nappes, consisting of Palaeozoic and Triassic deposits (allochthonous).

In Southern Bulgaria the sedimentary spreading is restricted in area and thickness and related with numerous small intra-mountain young basins in the Srednogorie zone, between the Kraistides and the Rhodope and in the Rhodope zone (Figs 4, 5, 6). Only Thracian depression is larger in spreading and sedimentary thickness, which exceeds 800–1000 m in some parts of Maritsa graben and West-Maritsa basin.

In the East Srednogorie – Balkan rift zone the thickness of sedimentary succession grow vastly (Figs 4, 6, 8), because several spatially superimposed rift basins developed during the Permian-Early Triassic, Early Jurassic, Late Jurassic (?) and Late Cretaceous (Georgiev et al., 2001).

Fig. 4 Main sedimentary basins and zones in Bulgaria (composed by Georgiev & Dubovski, 2007 – no published).
The Bulgarian offshore covers the easternmost fragments of Moesian platform and Balkanides, as well the western periphery of the Western Black Sea basin and of the young Tertiary Bourgas basin. Most of them have promising sedimentary features for local and zonal spreading of deep saline aquifers.

The seismicity of Bulgarian territory is shown by Fig. 9. The made seismic zoning (Bonchev et al. 1982) is based on a large range of initial geological, geophysical, seismological and other necessary information. The evaluation of seismicity was made by the ability to produce earthquakes of different energetic level depending on the character and intensity of tectonic processes, as well taking in mind the distribution and magnitude of recorded earthquakes.

The expected seismic source zones (SSZ) (Fig. 9) are traced through extrapolation along the earthquake sources of a given magnitude interval, along the zones of the lineaments. Furthermore, they extend also along the intersecting lineament up to the point of its next intersection, within the contour and depth of active layer. It may be assumed that such approach gives the most accurate estimation of expected SSZ on Bulgarian territory.

Recent and current volcanic activity on Bulgarian territory is not marked. However, several stages of volcanic activity in geological evolution were recorded in sedimentary succession. Volcanic manifestation is marked in Late Permian – Early Triassic, Late Cretaceous (Turonian-Santonian), Paleogene (Eocene-Oligocene) and Neogene (by basalt intrusions).

Estimation of CO₂ Geological Storage options

The industrial CO₂ emissions can be captured, transported and stored in suitable underground storage reservoirs. The most promising options to store CO₂ in geological formations are: in empty (depleted) oil and gas fields; in aquifers (deep saline water bearing layers) and in un-mined coal seams.

The presented Bulgarian CO₂ storage capacity estimation (Table 1) is based on large data base, including mainly original seismic and borehole results, integrated with our knowledge on the subsurface and with a unified way of calculating the capacity in HC fields, aquifers and coal beds, accepted in the frame of EU GeoCapacity project.
Fig. 7 Regional geological cross-section along line III-III (Figs 3 and 4), giving an overview for the Mesozoic-Tertiary sedimentary thickness variations in the Moesian platform (by Kalinko, ed., 1976 - updated).

Table 1. Capacity of potential CO₂ storage options in Bulgaria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Storage capacity in Mt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depleted hydrocarbon fields</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep saline aquifers</td>
<td>2660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal seams</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>2693</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Hydrocarbon fields

The estimation of CO₂ storage capacity in Bulgarian hydrocarbon fields is based on evaluation of all discovered 12 economic fields (Fig. 10). However most of them are out of right depth interval for effective CO₂ storage, which is 800 – 2500 m. Only in two gas fields, respectively Tchiren and Galata, the depth window for the reservoirs is favorable. But Tchiren field was converted into sub-surface gas storage in 1974 and still operating.

So, only Galata gas field (located offshore) was considered for estimation of CO₂ storage capacity. Nevertheless that this field is small, it suggests good opportunities for CO₂ storage (excellent reservoir parameters and depth). However there is a big interest for conversion of this field after depletion into sub-surface gas storage.

The storage capacity of the gas fields has been estimated assuming a 1:1 volumetric replacement ratio between hydrocarbons and CO₂. The CO₂ density varies with depth as a function of pressure and temperature and has been estimated using diagrams.

In Aquifers

Aquifers or deep saline water bearing layers offer enormous CO₂ storage potential, because they usually have larger spreading and good reservoir qualities. Important requirement to them is to have effective seals, presented by no permeable geological formations.

The presence of reservoir strata, horizons and levels in sedimentary succession of main tectonic units in Bulgaria is pictured in Fig. 8. Generally speaking, in the sedimentary successions of different basins and zones (Fig. 4) dominated not-permeable and semi-permeable formations and the presence of reservoir strata, horizons or levels is usually with local or zonal spreading.

The assessment of aquifers and their potential for CO₂ storage in Bulgaria was made after detailed study and analyses of:

- the presence of appropriate lithology for good reservoirs;
- the presence of favourable seismic facieses;
- the received amount of fluid flow during the tests in the boreholes;
- the presence of negative anomaly in SP log curve;
- the mud circulation louse during the drilling (mud engulf);
- the lab analyses of reservoir parameters porosity and permeability;
- the buried depth of reservoir formations – is it effective for CO₂ storage?
Fig. 8 A schematic Litho-Stratigraphic chart for sedimentary succession in the Moesian platform, Southern platform margin and Eastern Srednogorie-Balkan zone with reservoir / seal distribution (Georgiev & Dabovski, 2000 - improved).
Fig. 9 Recorded stronger earthquakes and prognosis seismic intensity (by MSC-64) in Bulgaria (by Bonchev et al., 1982 – modified and updated).

Fig. 10 Summary of Bulgarian economic hydrocarbon fields.
Volumetric storage capacity was calculated using methodology of Brook at al., 2003 and Bachu et al., 2007, accepted in EU GeoCapacity project, expressed by the formula:

$$M_{\text{CO}_2} = A \times h_{\text{ef}} \times \phi \times \rho_{\text{CO}_2} \times S_{\text{eff}}$$

where:
- $M_{\text{CO}_2}$ - storage capacity within a geological structure
- $A$ - area of aquifer
- $h_{\text{ef}}$ - effective thickness of aquifer reservoir
- $\phi$ - reservoir porosity
- $\rho_{\text{CO}_2}$ - CO$_2$ density at reservoir conditions
- $S_{\text{eff}}$ - storage efficiency factor

The most promising potential for CO$_2$ storage in Bulgaria related with some karstified and fractured carbonate reservoirs in the Devonian and Upper Jurassic – Valanginian, and some coarse-grained clastic reservoirs in the Lower Triassic, Middle Jurassic, and Middle-Upper Eocene stratigraphic units (Fig. 8). All they were proved by received results from numerous drilled oil and gas exploration wells.

The evaluation of CO$_2$ storage capacity in deep saline aquifers in Bulgaria is based on estimation of 2 individual structures and 6 local zones, shown on Fig. 11. They related respectively with Devonian, Lower Triassic, Middle Jurassic, Upper Jurassic - Valanginian and Middle-Upper Eocene reservoirs (Fig. 8). Six of selected aquifers are located in Northern Bulgaria, other two in Southern Bulgaria.

**In Coal seams**

Most of un-mined coal reserves in Bulgaria occur at shallow depth, no favorable for safety injection of CO$_2$. Deeper occurrence of coal-bearing formations (>800 m), suitable for CO$_2$ storage, exists only in two fields - Dobudja and Bobov Dol (Fig. 11). In GeoCapacity project was made first evaluation of geological conditions in order to estimate CO$_2$ storage feasibility and capacity in coal seams within these two fields.

The CO$_2$ storage capacity in coal field ($S$) is a function of PGIP (producible gas in place), CO$_2$ (gas) density and CO$_2$ to CH$_4$ exchange ratio (ER) (Bergen & Wildenborg, 2002):

$$S = \text{PGIP} \times \text{CO}_2\text{density} \times \text{ER}$$

CO$_2$ storage capacity $S$ denotes quantity of CO$_2$ which could replace PGIP, to the extent specified by ER (hard coal has usually the ratio of about 2, brown coal and lignite may have higher ratios).

PGIP means coal bed methane reserves for CO$_2$-Enhanced Coal-Bed Methane Recovery with the use of CO$_2$ storage.

**Main conclusions**

The largest capacity of potential CO$_2$ storage options in Bulgaria related with aquifers, coal fields have considerably less opportunities, while the possibilities to use depleted hydrocarbon fields practically there are not.
The duration of the estimated CO₂ storage country capacity (Table 1) with regard to annual industrial CO₂ emissions (if they save the level from 2006 - 52.2 Mt/y), is for more than 50 years.

The main problem for the geological storage of Bulgarian industrial CO₂ emissions is that the selected and estimated country storage options are located far from major CO₂ sources. Main storage capacities related to Northern Bulgaria, where the sedimentary succession is thick several kilometers and aquifers with limited spreading (structural and zonal) are present. However, the main concentration of industrial CO₂ is mainly in Southern Bulgaria, where the storage capacities are considerably less.

Some of the selected and estimated geological CO₂ storage options, as both coal fields and aquifers near to Plovdiv and V. Tarnovo (Fig. 11) are located in zones with heightened seismicity (Fig. 9), which made them risky in some extent.

The selected and estimated geological CO₂ storage options need further investigations and qualification based on: (1) larger utilization and deeper analyses of available wells and seismic information and (2) some new seismic data and wells.

The presented estimation of geological CO₂ storage options can be characterized as a slightly conservative, because some of the used values for some calculative parameters are a little bit less than expected. So, in further development of investigations and qualification of selected options there are real opportunities for increasing of national CO₂ geological storage potential.
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Permanent disposal of CO₂ industrial emission via artificial carbonatization of metaperidotite, metawehrlite and metawebsterite: an experimental study
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Abstract. The paper extends an experimental base for possibility to store the carbon dioxide emission via artificial carbonatization of metaperidotite bodies located in the East Slovakia. Three types of hydrated mantle rocks, metaperidotite (metalherzolite), metawehrlite and metawebsterite were subjected to reaction with water and CO₂ and therefrom obtained suspensions were heated by the different temperatures of 50 °C, 160 °C and 200 °C in the laboratory conditions. From the heated suspensions the acid carbonates (nesquehonite, barringtonite, dypingyte, hydromagnesite) and carbonates (calcite, dolomite, magnesite) were formed and confirmed by X-ray analyses. According to the mass balance calculations between the source silicate minerals (serpentine) and new carbonates-products, the amount from 902 to 1264 kg of CO₂ can be sequestered in 1 m³ of the source metaperidotite, metawehrlite and metawebsterite.

Key words: CO₂ disposal, artificial carbonatization, acid carbonates, carbonates, CO₂ storage capacity, metaperidotite, serpentinite

1. Introduction

The main sources of gases migrating to the atmosphere, including carbon dioxide that contributes to the greenhouse effect, derive from not only the high-emission technological processes, but also from other natural processes occurring on the surface and inside of the Earth. Nowadays it is estimated that its concentration in the Earth’s atmosphere has increased from 290 to 370 ppm during the last 150 years (Etherides et al. 1996; Drobek et al., 2008). The scientific evidence is now overwhelming: climate change presents very serious global risks and it demands an urgent global response. The methods to remove the anthropogenous carbon dioxide is a sequestration, understood as catching or separation, transport and storage of the CO₂ emission in the deep geological structures. CO₂ sequestration methods are divided into:

– biological – intake through biosphere (photo-synthesis),
– physical – through storage in the ocean and deep geological structures, CO₂ sequestration methods are frequently proposed storage locations are exhausted hydrocarbons deposits (oil and natural gas), saline caverns or deep-lying brine water bearing strata, less frequently non-mined or not balanced hard coal beds. This technology provides permanent prevention of carbon dioxide emission blowing to the atmosphere, while the monitoring of CO₂ leakages from the CO₂ stored deposit has to be continuous.

– chemical – by mineral carbonation (“mineral sequestration”), consisting in binding CO₂ with minerals.

The mineral CO₂ sequestration is not so preferred in comparison to deposition in regional aquifers, or depleted hydrocarbon deposits. However it is concerned to be one of the approaches which can be helpful in the combat climate change (Schiller, 2006; Uibu, 2008).

Serpentinite has been proposed as an efficient reagent for CO₂ sequestration by reason that the highly magnesium serpentinite or forsteritic olivine favors the reaction to magnesite in hydrated mantle rocks (Deer et al., 1997). This reaction simultaneously allows deposition of the carbon dioxide in the serpentinite using its unnatural carbonatization. (Herzog, 2002; Bochenczyk et al., 2007; Drobek et al, 2008). The final product of carbonatization is acid group of carbonates (nesquehonite, barringtonite, dypingyte and hydromagnesite) as well as group of carbonates (calcite, dolomite, and magnesite) and silica. These minerals are stable without a negative effect on the environment. (Lackner et al., 1995; Voormeij & Simandl, 2004; Dunsmore, 1992).

Serpentinite forms by hydration of olivine and enstatite at variable depths in the Earth’s crust or mantle during regional metamorphism. Alternatively, it can be formed by water alternation on the surface in conformity with reactions 1, 2, and 3 (Johannes, 1968; Martin & Fyfe, 1970, Malakhov, 1971):

\[
2\text{Mg}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{Mg}_5\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4 + \text{Mg(OH)}_2
\]

olivine chrysotile brucite
A process of artificial carbonatization uses NaCl and conditions (Herzog, 2002; Drobek et al., 2008). However magnesite during its carbonatization in atmosphere structure are important too. but its volume, depth, morphology and tectonic this is not the only criteria for usable serpentinite body, detailed mineralogical and petrological study. However account of carbon dioxide sequestration requests (Hovorka et al., 1985). It means that their evaluation for (4 and 5), in agreement with numerous experimental works, irreversibly change the serpentinite group of minerals (chrysotile, antigorite, lizardite) to carbonates. Serpentinite bodies are therefore efficient in CO₂ storage. Those bodies which were not influenced by natural CO₂ during metamorphism, or exhumation, are suitable for the artificial carbonatization purposes. Therefore a mineralogical and petrological study of the bodies is needed, because its results are crucial to select a suitable body in the mineral sequestration methodology.

There are several occurrences of partially or completely serpentinized metaperidotites bodies in the Slovak Republic. A targeted research for asbestos-lizardite occurrence was carried out on localities Jaklovice, Sedlice, Dobšiná, Brezníčka – Kalinovo, Komárovce – Hodkovce, Rudník and Jasov in the past (Zlocha, 1980). Encouraging of body circumstances in the depth is confirmed by a high temperature gradient in Komárovce – Hodkovce metaperidotite body because the reactions 4 and 5 which transform serpentine to carbonates have an optimum temperature interval from 70 to 130 °C. Such a temperature 59 °C has been measured in the depth below 1 500 m in the borehole KO-1 near Komárovce (Biela, 1978; Franko et al., 1995). The aim of this study is test of mantle rocks capability (metaperidotite, metawehrlite, metawebsterite), selected from the East Slovakian area for permanent carbon dioxide liquidation by artificial carbonatization. A consistent bonding of CO₂ in crystal lattice of carbonates is sustained and ecological solution for CO₂ mitigation purposes (Goldberg et al., 2000; Herzog, 2002; Kelemen & Matter, 2008).

2. Mineral composition of source mantle rocks

The serpentinized mantle-derived rocks were studied at localities Hodkovce (HO-1 and HO-2, metaperidotite), Rudník (RU-1 and RU-2, metawebsterite) and Jasov (JA-1 metawehrlite). The minerals of serpentine group are prevailing over the former magmatic mineral association (olivine and pyroxenes). Therefore it is possible to classify all studied rocks as serpentinite.

**Metaperidotite** is formed by chrysotile (78 vol.% or 80 %), olivine + enstatite + diopside (13 %, or 10 %), calcite and dolomite (1.3 % or 0.4 %), magnetite and hematite (8 % or 9 %). The content of Cr-spinel is in the both samples less than 0.5 %. Moreover, it is possible to recognize hornblende, hornblende – pargasite, tremolite, Ni sulphides and talc in accessory quantity.

**Metawebsterite** is formed by antigorite + chrysotile (68 vol. %, or 77 %), Al-enstatite + Al-diopside ± fayalite (20 %, or 13 %), magnetite prevails over oxide mineral association: pyrophyllite + Mn-rutile + Cr-magnetite (together 5 %); calcite (7.9 % or 3.6 %). Content of Al-spinel in both samples is below 0.5 %. Following minerals can be found in accessory concentrations: pargasite + millerite + Si-mineral (quartz?) + melanite + Cr-garnet + schwangmite + chlorite + cronstedite – kellyite + Ba-asbolane (?) + Co-Mn mineral (?) + andradite + diabane and barite.

**Metawehrlite** is composed of lizardite + chrysotile + antigorite + Ni-Fe serpentine (79 vol. %). Ti-diopside + Na-diopside + diopside ± olivine (8 %); magnetite + Cr-magnetite + hematite + Cr-hematite + Mn-hematite (together 7 %) dominates over oxide and carbonate association: rutile + calcite + Cr-spinel (6 %). This rock sporadically contains millerite + siegenite + melanite + grosular + andradite + ernienickelite + Cr-chlorite.

3. Sample preparation and analytical conditions

Polished thin sections of source metaperidotite, metawehrlite and metawebsterite were studied under polarizing microscope. We have focused on the identification and

\[ (\text{Mg,Fe,Ni})_2\text{SiO}_4 + \text{nH}_2\text{O} = \text{serpentine group} + \text{magnetite} \]

\[ \text{MgO} + \text{H}_2 \]

\[ \text{Mg}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_6(\text{OH})_4 + 3\text{CO}_2 \rightarrow 3\text{MgCO}_3 + \text{SiO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

\[ \text{Mg}_3\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4 + 3\text{CO}_2 \rightarrow 3\text{MgCO}_3 + \text{SiO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]
volumetric evaluation of mineral phases. The composition of mineral association was measured by means of electron microprobe, Cameca SX-100, installed in the laboratory at State Geological Institute of Dionýz Štúr, Bratislava. Microprobe was operated with accelerating voltage 15 kV, sample current 5-20 nA and the size of beam diameter varied from 5 to 20 µm in relation to the measured mineral. The counting time was 10 s and for fluorine 25 s. The following calibration standards were used: Ca-wolastonite, Mn-rhodonite, Na-albite, K-orthoclase and Cr-chromite., Ti-TiO₂, Al-Al₂O₃, Fe-fayalite, Mg-MgO and F-BaF₂. Detecting limit for individual elements was less than 0.05 wt. % with mistake 1 σ.

For the X-ray and chemical composition study, the rocks were firstly pulverized below 1 mm, homogenized and quartered. Homogeneous fraction was analyzed by X-ray diffusive inspection in the laboratory at State Geological Institute of Dionýz Štúr, Košice and silicate analyses were obtained by differential termogravity analyses (Tuček et al., 2009).

Experimental study has been conducted at such dressed samples. Serpentinite was mixed with water and carbon dioxide according to the procedure used by Tuček et al., (2009). Stable temperature, 22 °C and CO₂ pressure from 0.1 to 0.3 MPa was maintained during controlled reaction from 5 to 20 hours. Leaches, with pH value about 8 become the source medium from which new products formed at temperatures 50 °C, 160 °C and 200 °C. The crystallization time was 4 - 24 hours. The new formed and crystallized products were studied by the optical methods and were analysed and controlled by electron microprobe, by CHA (chemical silicate analyses) RTG (X-ray diffraction analyses), by DTA (differential thermoanalytical analyses) and by DTG (differential termogravity analyses), by DTA (differential thermoanalytical analyses) and by DTG (differential termogravity analyses) where the almost end-member of thermic analyses) and by DTG (differential termogravity analyses) and by DTG (differential termogravity analyses) where the almost end-member of thermic analyses) and by DTG (differential termogravity analyses) where the almost end-member of thermic analyses). The coexisting mixture of nesquehonite and hydromagnesite was formed after the reaction of chrysotile with a mix of the water and carbon dioxide according to the reaction (6) (Fig. 5). This reaction was derived from the relation between main responsive mineral in metaperidotite (chrysotile) and new originated minerals (nesquehonite, barrantonite). The reaction 6 precisely compares cations and anions balance in chemical formulas of minerals (Tab. 1). Low element contents were omitted in chemical formulas.

### 4.1 Minerals of artificial carbonatization formed at temperature 50 °C

A homogeneous, idiomorphic nesquehonite or aggregations of nesquehonite and barrantonite up to size almost 1 mm were upraised from the suspension (serpentinite + CO₂ + H₂O) after reaction, filtration and crystallization from the filtrate (Fig. 2). Original source rock - metaperidotite was during experiment under constant temperature of 50 °C. A mixture of radial or omnidirectional aligned nesquehonite was formed from the original metawehrhtle. The nesquehonite is sporadically fibrillated by a hemisphere of dypingite, and hydromagnesite (Fig. 4). The original calcite, which was formed in metawehrhtle during its serpentinisation, was in time nesquehonite and dypingyte formation hydrated, while original dolomite remained stable without its chemical composition changes (Fig. 4d).

Nesquehonite has almost identical values of X-ray diffraction profile and mineral lattice parameters as published in ASTM table values from the year 1974.

The chemical formula of nesquehonite is Si₀.003 Fe₀.003 Cr₀.003 Ca₀.003 Mg₀.997 (HCO₃)₂(OH)·2H₂O; barrantonite K₀.005 Ca₀.003 Mg₀.995 CO₃·2H₂O; dypingite K₀.001 Na₀.008 Si₀.016 Al₀.016 Fe₀.03 Cr₀.083 Ca₀.006 Mg₁.763 (CO₃)₃(OH)₂·5H₂O and hydromagnesite (K₀.003 Na₀.003 Si₀.009 Al₀.001 F₀.005 Cr₀.025 Ca₀.083 Mg₀.772)₁₃(CO₃)₉(OH)₂·4H₂O (Fig. 5, Tab. 2).

In hydromagnesite and dypingite the Cr₂O₃ content ranges from 0.41 wt. % to 1.42 % and the highest content of Cr₂O₃ in nesquehonite reaches 0.11 % (Fig. 12). The variable content of Cr₂O₃ indicates a bond of Cr as the stichtite molecule in hydromagnesite and dypingite.

Nesquehonite and coexisting barrantonite crystalized from the metaperidotite source after the reaction of serpentinite with a mix of the water and carbon dioxide according to the reaction 6 (Fig. 5). This reaction was derived from the relation between main responsive mineral in metaperidotite (chrysotile) and new originated minerals (nesquehonite, barrantonite). The reaction 6 precisely compares cations and anions balance in chemical formulas of minerals (Tab. 1). Low element contents were omitted in chemical formulas.

### (metaperidotit source)

50°C + 0.1MPa CO₂

\[
\text{Mg}_5\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_3 + 3\text{CO}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{2MgCO}_3\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{nesquehonite}
\]

+ MgCO₃·2H₂O + SiO₂

barrantonite

### (metawehrhtle source)

50°C + 0.1MPa CO₂

\[
\text{2Mg}_5\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_3 + 5\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{MgCO}_3\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{nesquehonite}
\]

+ Mg₅(CO₃)₃(OH)₂·4H₂O + 2SiO₂

hydromagnesite

The formation of two different coexisting pairs, nesquehonite – barrantonite and nesquehonite – hydromagnesite were formed at the same temperature 50°C on the expense of chrysotile after reactions 6 and 7. Two different pairs are possible to clarify by different reaction activity in the source metaperidotite and metawehrhtle from which the chrysotile was formed. Olivine, enstatite and diopside replaced by chrysotile during metamorphism or hydration of peridotite have probably different reaction ability (activity) than from the chemical point of view identical to chrysotile which was formed in metawehrhtle by the hydration of diopside. In conformity with juxtaposition of reactions 6 and 7, metawehrhtle consumes less amount of CO₂ during artificial carbonatization than metaperidotite.
Tab. 1 Representative analyses of acid carbonates formed during artificial carbonatization by temperature 50 °C. Neq-nesquehonite, Bar-barringtonite, Dyp-dypingite, hMag-hydromagnesite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>HO-1 Neq</th>
<th>HO-1 CaNeq</th>
<th>HO-2 Neq</th>
<th>HO-2 Bar</th>
<th>HO-2 peridotite</th>
<th>JA-1 Neq</th>
<th>JA-1 Neq</th>
<th>JA-1 Dyp</th>
<th>JA-1 hMag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rock</td>
<td>peridotite</td>
<td>peridotite</td>
<td>peridotite</td>
<td>peridotite</td>
<td>wehrelite</td>
<td>wehrelite</td>
<td>wehrelite</td>
<td>wehrelite</td>
<td>wehrelite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temper.</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
<td>50 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr2O3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>28.33</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>29.59</td>
<td>32.48</td>
<td>32.18</td>
<td>27.40</td>
<td>29.80</td>
<td>35.33</td>
<td>40.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>17.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O*</td>
<td>38.20</td>
<td>40.67</td>
<td>39.98</td>
<td>29.08</td>
<td>28.83</td>
<td>28.37</td>
<td>37.40</td>
<td>37.40</td>
<td>19.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>66.69</td>
<td>76.05</td>
<td>69.82</td>
<td>61.64</td>
<td>61.10</td>
<td>65.60</td>
<td>70.04</td>
<td>70.04</td>
<td>57.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2*</td>
<td>33.31</td>
<td>23.95</td>
<td>30.18</td>
<td>38.36</td>
<td>38.90</td>
<td>34.40</td>
<td>29.96</td>
<td>42.70</td>
<td>37.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total *</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.580</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>4.808</td>
<td>4.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>5.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCl</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.987</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.999</td>
<td>11.736</td>
<td>9.648</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* calculated from chemical formula, CO2 = 100 – Total *

4.2 Minerals of artificial carbonatization formed at temperature 160 °C

A suspension of serpentine group minerals with CO2 and H2O was prepared from the source metaperidotite. This suspension was the source liquid from which after filtration and reaction under temperature regime 160 °C a spherical form of hydromagnesite and globular clumps mixture of dypingite and hydromagnesite has been formed and locally the size of crystals reach nearly 100 µm (Fig. 5). Original grains of Ca–Mg silicate (chrysotile, enstatite, diopside) were replaced by the mixture of dypingite, magnesite and dolomite. During the process of carbonatization the rare and allotriomorphic grains of dolomite and magnesite were formed reaching the size of 7 µm (Fig. 5c). Original diopside was in the course of carbonatization hydrated and replaced by hydromagnesite. (Fig. 5d).

The chemical formula of hydromagnesite is: 

\[(K_{0.002}Na_{0.000}Si_{0.077}Fe_{0.009}Mn_{0.000}Cr_{0.000}Ca_{0.014}Mg_{4.861})_{2}OH_{2} \cdot 4H_{2}O;\]

dypingite \((K_{0.003}Na_{0.001}Si_{0.021}Fe_{0.002}Mn_{0.005}Ca_{0.008}Mg_{4.832})_{2}(CO_{3})_{4}(OH)_{2} \cdot 5H_{2}O;\)
dolomite \(Ca_{0.39}Mg_{0.61}CO_{3} ;\)
magnesite \(Ca_{0.01}Mg_{0.99}CO_{3} ;\)
and calcite \(Ca_{0.97}Mg_{0.03}CO_{3}\) (Fig. 6., Tab. 3 and 4). In hydromagnesite the Cr2O3 content ranges from 0.11 wt. % to 1.85 % and in dypingite ranges from 1.02 % to 1.54 %. The presence of Cr2O3 in hydromagnesite and dypingite indicates a bond of Cr as the molecule of stichtite that was formed the acid carbonates as well. The Cr2O3 content was not determined in magnesite, dolomite and calcite (Fig. 11).

The new assemblage of hydromagnesite, magnesite, dolomite and calcite is the final product of reaction 8 where the serpentinite (chrysotile), diopside, and olivine reacted with H2O and CO2. This reaction was derived from the equation between the main consumed minerals and new formed minerals taking notice of exact relation between cations and anions balance in the chemical formulas of minerals (Tables 3 and 4). The small content of elements was neglected in the chemical formula.
\[
\text{Mg}_3\text{Si}_2\text{O}_9(\text{OH})_4 + \text{CaMgSi}_2\text{O}_6 + \text{Mg}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_4 + 6\text{CO}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Mg}_5(\text{CO}_3)_4(\text{OH})_2.4\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{MgCO}_3 + \text{CaCO}_3 + 5\text{SiO}_2
\]

Tab. 2 Representative analyses of acid carbonates formed during artificial carbonatization by temperature 160 and 200 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>HO-2 Dyp</th>
<th>HO-2 hMag</th>
<th>HO-2 hMag</th>
<th>HO-1 Dyp</th>
<th>HO-1 Ser</th>
<th>HO-1 hMag</th>
<th>HO-2 hMag</th>
<th>RU-1 Dyp</th>
<th>RU-1 Ser</th>
<th>RU-1 hMag</th>
<th>RU-1 hMag</th>
<th>RU-1 Neq</th>
<th>RU-1 Bar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO(_2)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>38.57</td>
<td>43.14</td>
<td>41.36</td>
<td>34.54</td>
<td>20.19</td>
<td>43.28</td>
<td>28.16</td>
<td>34.73</td>
<td>39.40</td>
<td>31.63</td>
<td>40.41</td>
<td>37.64</td>
<td>29.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>19.27</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(_2)O</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K(_2)O</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H(_2)O*</td>
<td>21.22</td>
<td>19.89</td>
<td>18.67</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>19.46</td>
<td>38.51</td>
<td>31.60</td>
<td>18.55</td>
<td>17.43</td>
<td>40.48</td>
<td>26.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>61.98</td>
<td>67.11</td>
<td>62.01</td>
<td>57.07</td>
<td>52.05</td>
<td>64.77</td>
<td>67.89</td>
<td>61.93</td>
<td>54.25</td>
<td>61.23</td>
<td>61.46</td>
<td>71.14</td>
<td>58.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO(_2)*</td>
<td>38.02</td>
<td>32.89</td>
<td>37.99</td>
<td>42.93</td>
<td>47.05</td>
<td>35.23</td>
<td>32.11</td>
<td>32.30</td>
<td>38.07</td>
<td>45.75</td>
<td>38.77</td>
<td>38.54</td>
<td>27.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total *</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* calculated from chemical formula CO\(_2\) * = 100 – Total *
Tab. 3 Representative analyses of carbonates formed during artificial carbonatization. Cal-kalcite, Dol-dolomite, Mag-magnesite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dol-relikt</td>
<td>wehrlite</td>
<td>peridotite</td>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>Cal</td>
<td>Dol</td>
<td>CaMa</td>
<td>Dol</td>
<td>Cal</td>
<td>Dol</td>
<td>CaMa</td>
<td>Cal</td>
<td>Dol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>FeO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho-2</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>25.23</td>
<td>25.93</td>
<td>48.46</td>
<td>100.55</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.138</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>2.002</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>55.45</td>
<td>44.45</td>
<td>100.78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.979</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.03</td>
<td>24.12</td>
<td>48.46</td>
<td>99.61</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.218</td>
<td>0.782</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39.42</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>50.96</td>
<td>100.48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46.70</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>51.69</td>
<td>99.29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>54.49</td>
<td>44.36</td>
<td>100.36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.964</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>53.76</td>
<td>44.10</td>
<td>99.61</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.248</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.58</td>
<td>23.10</td>
<td>48.28</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>0.705</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40.73</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>50.26</td>
<td>98.34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47.59</td>
<td>52.24</td>
<td>52.24</td>
<td>100.21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* calculated from chemical formula.

Fig. 1 Nesquehonite (Neq) and barringtonite (Bar) were formed during artificial carbonatization process at temperature 50 °C from the metaperidotite – serpentinite source. Sample HO-1., a) idiomorphic crystals of nesquehonite in binocular loupe, b) idiomorphic crystals of nesquehonite and barringtonite in binocular loupe, c) idiomorphic crystals of nesquehonite, barringtonite, Ca – nesquehonite (Ca-Neq) and Si hydromagnesite (Si-hMag). Back-scattered electron image., d) detail-c relict hydrated enstatite and new barringtonite and nesquehonite. Back-scattered electron image.
Fig. 2 Nesquehonite, (Neq), barringtonite (Bar) and hydromagnesite (hMag) formed the artificial carbonatization process at
temperature 50 °C from the metaperidotite – serpentinite source. Sample HO-2., a) nesquehonite and barringtonite in binocular
loupe, b) nesquehonite and barringtonite in binocular loupe; c) nesquehonite, barringtonite, Ca and Si hydromagnesite (Si-hMag).
Back-scattered electron image, d) nesquehonite in back-scattered electron image.

4.3 Minerals of artificial carbonatization formed at
temperature 200 °C

Analogically to previous case, also in this experiment
was used the temperature at 200 °C. The acid carbonate
of the spherical form and the irregular aggregates of
magnesite, hydromagnesite and Ca magnesite crystallized
according to reaction 8 from the source metaperidotite
(Figs. 7 and 8d). The spherical grains are zoned. The core
forms hydromagnesite, dypingite and/or sergeevite and
the rim parts of rounded grains are formed by nesque-
honite and barringtonite (Figs. 7c and 7e).

A new assemblage of Si nesquehonite, nesquehonite,
hydromagnesite, dypingite and calcite crystallized from
the source metawebsterite. The spherical form has ser-
geevite and barringtonite (Fig. 8).

Hydromagnesite has almost identical values of X-ray
diffraction profile and mineral lattice parameters as
published in ASTM table values from the year 1974.

The chemical formula of nesquehonite is:
Si$_{0.013}$Cr$_{0.002}$Ca$_{0.010}$Mg$_{0.975}$.(HCO$_3$).$(OH)$.2H$_2$O;
barringtonite Na$_{0.001}$Ca$_{0.013}$Si$_{0.015}$Mg$_{0.976}$CO$_3$.2H$_2$O;
dypingite (K$_{0.002}$Na$_{0.004}$Si$_{0.004}$Mn$_{0.007}$Ca$_{0.033}$Mg$_{4.909}$)$\times$(CO$_3$)$_{4}$.(OH)$_{2}$.5H$_2$O;
hydromagnesite (K$_{0.002}$Si$_{0.001}$Mn$_{0.007}$Ca$_{0.007}$Mg$_{4.989}$)$\times$(CO$_3$)$_{4}$.(OH)$_{2}$.4H$_2$O;
sergeevite (K$_{0.006}$Na$_{0.133}$Si$_{0.458}$Mn$_{0.006}$Cr$_{0.025}$Al$_{0.003}$Fe$_{0.006}$
Ca$_{1.845}$Mg$_{10.645}$)$\times$(CO$_3$)$_{9}$.(HCO$_3$)$_{4}$.(OH)$_{2}$.6H$_2$O;
calcite Mg$_{0.02}$Ca$_{0.98}$CO$_3$; dolomite Mg$_{0.62}$Ca$_{0.38}$CO$_3$; Ca
magnesite Mg$_{0.89}$Ca$_{0.12}$CO$_3$ and magnesite MgCO$_3$ (Figs.
9 and 10, Tables 3 and 4). In nesquehonite and hydro-
magnesite the Cr$_2$O$_3$ content is ranging from 0.79 wt. %
to 2.16 % with the sporadic value of 4.16 % (Fig. 11). In
this acid carbonates, the Cr$_2$O$_3$ content indicate the bond
of Cr in the stichtite molecule.

During artificial carbonatization controlled at the tem-
perature 200 °C the new coexisting assemblage, hydromag-
nesite, magnesite calcite and dolomite was formed from
the metaperidotite source (Figs. 7 and 8d). The same assem-
blage is the result of serpentine group and olivine reaction
with the water and carbon dioxide which was formed by
the temperature 160 °C according to the reaction 8.

Hydromagnesite, nesquehonite and calcite crystallized
during the metawebsterite carbonatization where the
Fig. 3 Nesquehonite (Neq) and dypingite (Dyp) were formed during artificial carbonatization process at temperature 50 °C from the metawehrlite – serpentinite source. Sample JA-1., a) radial nesquehonite and dypingite in binocular loupe, b) nesquehonite and dypingite in binocular loupe, c) nesquehonite, dypingite and hydromagnesite (hMag). Back-scattered electron image., d) detail-c relict of hydrated calcite (hCal) and dolomite (Dol) replaced by dypingite and nesquehonite in back-scattered electron image.

Fig. 4 Variation of H₂O and CO₂ in coexisting pair of nesquehonite – barringtonite (sample HO-1 and HO-2 metaperidotite source) and in coexisting pair nesquehonite – dypingite/hydromagnesite (sample JA-1 metawehrlite source). These acid carbonates crystallized during artificial carbonatization controlled by temperature 50 °C. Grey plus – contents of H₂O and CO₂ in end member minerals: cal – calcite, dol – dolomite, mag – magnesite. Grey circles - contents of H₂O and CO₂ in hydrated relic of enstatite. Grey rhomb – Carbonate relics from original metawehrlite.

temperature was 200 °C (Fig. 8). The carbonatization is result of the relation between main responsive minerals in metawebsterite to new formed minerals. It is driven by cations and anions balance in chemical formulas of minerals following reaction 9 (Tables 2 and 3). The small content of elements was omitted in the chemical formula.

$$\text{(metawebsterite source)}$$

$$\begin{align*}
\text{Mg}_3\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4 + \text{Ca}\text{MgSi}_2\text{O}_6 + \text{Mg}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_6 + 6\text{CO}_2 + 200^\circ \text{C} + 0.1\text{MPa CO}_2
+ 6\text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow \text{Mg}_5(\text{CO}_3)_4(\text{OH})_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{MgCO}_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + \\
&+ \text{CaCO}_3 + 6\text{SiO}_2
\end{align*}$$

(9)
Fig. 5 Products of the artificial carbonatization were formed at temperature 160°C from the metaperidotite – serpentinite source. Sample HO – 2  a) hydromagnesite (hMag) in binocular loupe, b) hydromagnesite in back-scattered electron image, c) The relict of enstatite replaced by magnesite (Mag), dypingite (Dyp) and dolomite (Dol). Back-scattered electron image., d) relic of hydrated diopside replaced by hydromagnesite. Back-scattered electron image.

Fig. 6 Variation of H₂O and CO₂ in coexisting dypindite, hydromagnesite, calcite, dolomite and magnesite. Sample HO-2. These minerals were formed during artificial carbonatization at temperature 160°C from the metaperidotite source. Grey plus – contents of H₂O and CO₂ in end member minerals: cal – calcite, dol - dolomite, mag – magnesite. Grey reverse triangle – content of H₂O and CO₂ in hydrated relic of diopside.

5. Discussion

The reactions 6 - 9 have been evaluated by mass balance calculations based on the relation between the source rocks of mantle and their correspondent minerals (serpentine group, olivine, enstatite, diopside) and CO₂ + H₂O composition as well as according to new formed acid carbonates and carbonates. These reactions represent the artificial carbonation process, which can take place under various temperature conditions. A weight of carbon dioxide (kg) was calculated after the mass balance of these reactions. Consequently, it allows to assess the weight of CO₂ consumed in 1 m³ of metaperidotite, metaweblite or in metawebsterite during artificial carbonatization process. In the course of reaction 6 controlled by temperature 50°C is possible to consume 1 264 kg of CO₂ in 1 m³ of metaperidotite, if the volume density of metaperidotite is 3.0 kg/m³. The capability of rock decreases to 1 083 kg of sequestered CO₂ in case of lower volume density 2.57 kg/m³ that is the value typical for serpentinite.

In terms of reaction 7, when the temperature level is kept at the value 50°C, 1 m³ of metaweblite can eli-
Fig. 7 Products of artificial carbonatization were formed at temperature 200 °C from the metaperidotite – serpentinite source. Sample HO-2., a) and b) spherical form of hydromagnesite (hMag), nesquehonite (Neq), berringtonite (Bar), sergeevite (Ser) and dyepingite (Dyp) in binocular loupe, c) zonal grains of hydromagnesite (core), sergeevite (core), nesquehonite (rim), berringtonite (rim) and calcite (cal). Back-scattered electron image., d) calcite and dyepingite relation in back-scattered electron image., e) diopside replaced by calcite, by hydromagnesite, by dolomite (Dol) and magnesite (Mag). Initial chrysotile (serpentine) was replaced by nesquehonite, hydromagnesite and dyepingite. Back-scattered electron image., f) hydromagnesite, Ca magnesite (CaMag) and magnesite in back-scattered electron image.
nate 902 kg of CO₂ if the volume density of metawehrellite is 2.57 kg/m³, or 1 053 kg when its volume density reaches 3.0 kg/m³. Completely serpentinised wehrlite exhibits the same volume density as the serpentinised peridotite (ρ = 2.57 kg/m³).

The peridotite carbonatization process in conformity with reaction 8 at temperature 160 °C as well as 200 °C consumes 1 174 kg of CO₂, alternatively, 1 006 kg of CO₂ in 1 m³ of rock in dependence on minimum, or maximum substance of serpentine group in peridotite. According to the same dependence balanced in reaction 9, the 1 m³ of metawebsterite eliminates 1 078 kg of CO₂ or 924 kg of CO₂ similar to the metaperidotite ability at the same temperature 200 °C. The results obtained from our investigation are in a very good agreement with comparative investigation and calculation (Herzog, 2002).

In comparison to ideal weight of CO₂ eliminated in 1 m³ of metaperidotite, metawehrellite and metawebsterite the consumed weight of carbon dioxide ranges from 902 kg to 1 264 kg and does not depend neither temperature condition of artificial carbonatization nor the type of the source serpentinite. Gradual temperature increase from 50 °C through 160 °C to 200 °C has influence to higher participation of carbonates (calcite, dolomite, magnesite) at the expense of acid carbonates (nesquehonite, barringtonite, dypingite, hydromagnesite). Acid carbonates preferably grow at temperature 50 °C while higher temperatures serve as a suitable environment for carbonates formation.

6. Conclusion

The capability of metaperidotite (locality Komárovce – Hodkovce), metawehrellite (locality Jasov) and metawebsterite (locality Rudník) to eliminate an industrial CO₂ emissions have been verified by the experimental study of artificial carbonatization. Laboratory tests have been carried out at prepared samples of ultramafic rocks from above mentioned localities. Serpentinite was mixed with water by temperature 22 °C, when was changed the pressure of carbon dioxide from 0.1 to 0.3 MPa and the time from 5 to 20 hours during reactions. The acid carbonates (nesquehonite, barringtonite, dypingite, hydro-
Fig. 9 Variation of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) in coexisting acid carbonates: nesquehonite, barringtonite, dypingite, hydromagnesite, sergeevite and carbonates: calcite, dolomite and magnesite. These minerals were formed during artificial carbonatization at temperature 200 °C from the metaperidotite source (sample HO-2) and from metawebsterite source (sample RU-1 and RU-2). Grey plus – \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) contents in end member minerals: cal – calcite, dol – dolomite, mag – magnesite. Grey triangle – \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) content in hydrated diopside.

Fig. 10 Variation of \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) and \( \text{MgCO}_3 \) in carbonates. These minerals crystallized at the expenses silicates in various mantle rocks. Dolomite and calcite are relics of the source metawhrlite, stable at 50°C.

\[ 160 \degree \text{C or 200 \degree C} + 0.1\text{MPa CO}_2 \]

\[ \text{serpentine + diopside + olivine + 6CO}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{hydromagnesite + magnesite + calcite + 5SiO}_2 \] (8)

\[ 200 \degree \text{C} + 0.1\text{MPa CO}_2 \]

\[ \text{serpentine + diopside + enstatite + 6CO}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{hydromagnesite + nesquehonite + calcite + 6SiO}_2 \] (9)

The reactions 6, 7, 8 and 9 served as the base for \( \text{CO}_2 \) weight calculation that resulted to the final conclusion - how many kg of carbon dioxide is capable 1 m\(^3\) of serpentinite to bond in its volume, during different temperature regimes. In comparison of ideal weight of \( \text{CO}_2 \) liquidated in 1 m\(^3\) of metaperidotite, metawhrlite and metawebsterite the sequestered weight of carbon dioxide from 902 kg to 1 264 kg does not depend neither the temperature condition of artificial carbonatization nor the type of the source rock. The gradual temperature increases from 50 °C through 160 °C to 200 °C has influence to higher share carbonates formation (calcite, dolomite, and magnesite) at the expense of acid carbonates (nesquehonite, barringtonite, dypingite, hydromagnesite). Acid carbonates are dominating by temperature 50 °C while higher temperatures serve as a suitable environment for carbonates formation.

Results of experimental study show that serpentinite rocks are a suitable eliminator of \( \text{CO}_2 \) especially in the Eastern part of Slovakia. Achieved results represent a solid base for better understanding the artificial carbonatization.
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CO₂ sequestration by carbonatization: Origin of new stable products using serpentinite and their potential use in industry
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Abstract. Article presents several methods of CO₂ sequestration with the main emphasis on mineral carbonatization. The main principles of this way of liquidation of industrial CO₂ – direct and indirect method of carbonatization are described with stating of general factors influencing the acceleration of carbonatization.

The carbonatization for CO₂ liquidation was tested using serpentinite from localities Rudník, Hodkovce and Jasov in Slovakia. The laboratory pilot tests have demonstrated that new, solid and high purity products of carbonatization can be prepared from samples of these localities after their granular and thermic modification at particular P-T conditions with subsequent crystallization of carbonate minerals from filtrates.

The new products based on serpentinite were produced in relatively short time of several hours. Originated carbonate minerals – nesquehonite, hydromagnesite, less barrisonite and dypingite – have good use in various branches of industry, e.g. for white anorganic filling agents.

Key words: serpentinite, carbonatization, CO₂, P-T conditions, filtrate, crystallization, carbonates

1. Introduction

Sequestration of CO₂ is defined as catchment, deposition and storage of CO₂. It can be done by physical method (e.g. geological storing), chemical method (e.g. mineral carbonatization) and biological method (e.g. reforestation – photosynthesis of chlorophyll of green parts of the plants with CO₂).

CO₂ sequestration by mineral carbonatization represents safe method for liquidation of industrial CO₂, based on chemical reactions of active components of rocks, resp. waste, with CO₂, resulting in origin of stable and solid products – carbonates, eventually further inert compounds not loading living environment.

The first remark about mineral sequestration was given by Seifritz (1990), and later discussed and developed by Dumsmore (1992). The details and foundation for contemporary investigation have been carried out by Lackner et a. (1995). Since there was possible to pursue quite intensive development in this field. However, it is not a subject of our contribution, to produce overview about this technology. Nevertheless it is fruitful to mention the research by Goff and Lackner (1998), Lackner et al. (1997, 2002, 2005), O’Connor (2000), Zevenhoven and Kohlmann (2002), Zevenhoven and Kavalauskaitë (2003) and many other researchers.

The carbonatization can be done by two methods – direct and indirect. Using the direct (one-phase) method the mineral components are added into reaction with CO₂. In indirect method (two-phase) the reactive components are extracted from mineral matrix and successively enter into the reaction with CO₂. In both cases the input minerals can be modified by thermic, chemical, physical-mechanic or mutually combined ways aiming to accelerate the reactions with CO₂ (Uliasz-Bochenczyk et al., 2007).

Carbonatization (mainly the direct method) represents in normal natural conditions very slow process, mainly in the case of natural minerals. Aiming to accelerate the reactions, following factors influencing the reactivity of mineral components with CO₂ must be optimized technologically:

a) mineral and chemical composition of input rocks (raw material) and activity of their components, purity of CO₂-bearing gas
b) state of input components (solid, liquid and gas phase) and their mutual ratio
c) temperature (T)
d) pressure CO₂ (P)
e) duration (time) of reaction – time of mutual reacting of solid phase with CO₂ (τ)
f) grain-size (fineness), porosity and activity of specific surface of input material
g) modification of input components (thermic, chemical, physical-mechanical, eventually their mutual combination)
h) reaction conditions (static or dynamic)
i) pH of reaction environment and kinds of added reactants (additives, reagents, etc.)
j) density, resp. viscosity of reagent suspension

2. Carbonatization of serpentinite

The laboratory investigation was focused on 5 samples from Slovak localities: Hodkovce (HO-1 and HO-2),
Jasov (JA-1) and Rudník (RU-1 and RU-2). Samples were crushed in several steps, homogenized and quartered for chemical silicate analyses (CHA), X-ray diffraction analyses (RTG), differential thermic analyses (DTA) and differential thermogravimetric analyses (DTG).

Tab. 1 states the chemical silicate analyses of investigated samples and Tab. 2 the mineral composition of these samples.

Tab. 1. Chemical composition of input samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical compound (wt.%)</th>
<th>RU - 1</th>
<th>RU - 2</th>
<th>HO - 1</th>
<th>HO - 2</th>
<th>JA - 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>37.87</td>
<td>39.62</td>
<td>40.21</td>
<td>40.01</td>
<td>40.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃total</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>5.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>34.75</td>
<td>36.47</td>
<td>36.06</td>
<td>36.73</td>
<td>36.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss by ignition</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>13.03</td>
<td>14.12</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>11.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples from studied localities: RU - Rudník, HO - Hodkovce, JA – Jasov

Based on granulometric analyses of investigated samples and their mineralogical evaluation the input grain-size was determined (beneath 1.0 mm). This grain-size class was used for thermic activation, and successively the laboratory reactions of carbonization were done. Laboratory tests investigated the ability of prepared input samples to react with gaseous CO₂ in real time and simultaneously to form qualitative new mineral phases - carbonate products with high chemical purity for potential use in industrial sphere.

The pilot test of samples were done at determined P-T conditions in laboratory rotating reactor with uninterrupted mixing of suspension formed by solid phase – serpentinite and liquid phase – water, with added CO₂. The ratio of solid phase to liquid phase was 1:5. The duration of carbonatization reactions was within the range 5-20 hours at room temperature and CO₂ pressures 0.1-0.3 MPa.

At the end of reaction the solid phase was separated from liquid phase by sedimentation and the precipitate was separated from liquid phase by filtration. Solid phases and precipitates in this phase of research were not investigated in details, but they contained besides the amorphous Mg-Si phase and amorphous Si phase also carbonic acids and carbonates in amount 5-30 wt.%.

New, highly pure mineral phases crystallized from filtrates at temperatures 50 °C, 160 °C and 200 °C after 4-24 hours. Among these phases prevailed nesquehonite and hydromagnesite, less abundant were barringtonite, dypingite. In small amount there occurred also magnesite, dolomite and calcite grains (Radvanec et al., 2009).

The formation of quantitatively new, solid mineral products with high chemical purity (besides carbonates above 97 %) by carbonatization of serpentinite and following crystallization from filtrates occurred in relatively short time (not more than 24 hours). Originating carbonate components have good preconditions for their use in different branches of industrial sphere (infillings into plastics, paints, paper, binding constituents, rubber, other anorganic infilling, etc.).

3. Results of laboratory tests

The chemical and mineral compositions of new products obtained after carbonization and crystallization from filtrates of investigated serpentinite samples from localities Rudník, Hodkovce and Jasov in Slovakia are shown in Tabs. 3 and 4.

Because the investigated samples of serpentinite from studied localities have similar chemical and mineral composition differing only by the contents of some chemical and mineral components, the evaluation of prepared new products in this article is presented only in the case of sample HO-2 from locality Hodkovce, containing in the input 80.3 % of serpentinite minerals, but only 0.4 % of calcite

Tab. 4 demonstrates that products obtained from serpentinite samples, heated at 50°C, contained 96.9-97.9 % nesquehonite, calcite 0.9-1.5 % and further oxides 1.2-1.7 %.

DTA record of nesquehonite product obtained from the sample HO-2 at 50 °C shows double endoeffect with peaks at 182 °C and 209 °C being accompanied with the loss by ignition 34.9 %, double endoeffect with peaks at 428 °C and 478 °C with loss by ignition 31.3 %. Comparison of DTA results with those from chemical analysis has demonstrated that weight loss with peak at 182-209 °C corresponds to loss of adsorption water - 3 H₂O. The weight loss at 428-478 °C corresponds to loss of the functional group - CO₂.

Moreover, Tab. 4 demonstrates that products obtained from serpentinite of investigated samples at 200 °C, resp. 160 °C contained 95.5-97.1 % of hydromagnesite, calcite 1.5-2.6 % and further oxides 1.3-2.1 %.

Comparison of DTA results with the results of chemical analysis has shown that endoeffect with the temperature peak at 321 °C corresponds with the loss of 19 % bounded water in the form of OH groups and own adsorption water - 4H₂O. The loss of weight 35.6 % with the peaks of endoeffect at 433 °C and 481-520 °C corresponds with the loss of functional group - CO₂.

4. Conclusion

Serpentinites from localities Rudník, Hodkovce and Jasov in Slovakia can be used for liquidation of industrial CO₂ using the method of carbonatization. The laboratory investigation has manifested that after the granular and
thermic modification of samples from investigated localities Rudník, Hodkovce and Jasov in Slovakia at certain P-T conditions the qualitatively new, solid and highly pure products can be prepared by the method of carbonatization with subsequent crystallization of carbonate minerals from filtrates.

The formation of new products on the base of serpentine occurred in relatively short time of several hours. Obtained carbonate minerals are potentially usable in manifold industrial branches as white anorganic infilling into plastics, paints, binding constituents, glues, rubber, paper, etc.

The originated carbonate products with steady chemical bounds of CO₂ consisted mainly from nesquehonite, hydromagnesite and less barringtonite, dypingite as well as tiny magnesite, dolomite and calcium grains.

**Tab. 2. Mineral composition of input samples**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation of sample</th>
<th>Mineral component (wt.%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>serpentine minerals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RU - 1</td>
<td>67.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RU - 2</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO - 1</td>
<td>77.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO - 2</td>
<td>80.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JA - 1</td>
<td>78.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 3. Chemical composition of obtained products**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical compound (wt.%)</th>
<th>RU-1</th>
<th>RU-1</th>
<th>RU-2</th>
<th>RU-2</th>
<th>HO-1</th>
<th>HO-1</th>
<th>HO-2</th>
<th>HO-2</th>
<th>HO-2</th>
<th>HO-2</th>
<th>JA-1</th>
<th>JA-1</th>
<th>JA-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p (MPa) - T (°C) reaction time (hour)</td>
<td>0.3-22</td>
<td>0.3-22</td>
<td>0.1-22</td>
<td>0.1-22</td>
<td>0.3-22</td>
<td>0.3-22</td>
<td>0.3-22</td>
<td>0.3-22</td>
<td>0.3-22</td>
<td>0.1-22</td>
<td>0.1-22</td>
<td>0.1-22</td>
<td>0.1-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crystal. time (hour) crystal. temper. (°C)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃ total</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>34.14</td>
<td>42.48</td>
<td>34.90</td>
<td>42.60</td>
<td>34.25</td>
<td>42.54</td>
<td>30.09</td>
<td>42.05</td>
<td>43.14</td>
<td>35.11</td>
<td>42.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>35.59</td>
<td>36.43</td>
<td>35.80</td>
<td>33.28</td>
<td>34.92</td>
<td>32.70</td>
<td>35.64</td>
<td>35.97</td>
<td>34.75</td>
<td>35.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples from studied localities: RU - Rudník, HO - Hodkovce, JA - Jasov

**Tab. 4. Mineral composition of obtained products**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample designation</th>
<th>Mineral component (wt.%)</th>
<th>Other oxides</th>
<th>Sum of carbonates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample designation</td>
<td>nesquehonite</td>
<td>hydromagnesite</td>
<td>calcite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RU-1 (50 °C)</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RU-1 (200 °C)</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RU-2 (50 °C)</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RU-2 (200 °C)</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-1 (50 °C)</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-1 (200 °C)</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-2 (50 °C)</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-2 (160 °C)</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO-2 (200 °C)</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JA-1 (50 °C)</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JA-1 (200 °C)</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Mineral sequestration of samples from Hodkovce and Rudník localities. Sample Hodkovce 2: a - input material crushed beneath 1.0 mm, b - thermically elaborated material crushed beneath 1.0 mm, c - nesquehonite and hydromagnesite (160 °C), d - hydromagnesite (200 °C). Sample Hodkovce 1: e - nesquehonite (50 °C), f - hydromagnesite (200 °C). Sample Rudník 2: g - nesquehonite (50 °C), h - nesquehonite (prismatic shape) and hydromagnesite (ball shape; 50 °C).
Tab. 5. Measured X-ray diffraction lattice values for nesquehonite (50 °C) from locality Hodkovce 2 compared with etalon values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Measured values</th>
<th>E talon values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Angle</td>
<td>d(nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.750</td>
<td>0.644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23.210</td>
<td>0.383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.940</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>27.670</td>
<td>0.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.600</td>
<td>0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30.140</td>
<td>0.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>32.340</td>
<td>0.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>34.320</td>
<td>0.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>35.920</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>41.650</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>42.000</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>45.000</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>47.320</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 6. Measured X-ray diffraction lattice values for hydromagnesite (200 °C) from locality Hodkovce 2 compared with etalon values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Measured values</th>
<th>E talon values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Angle</td>
<td>d(nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.580</td>
<td>0.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.800</td>
<td>0.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.250</td>
<td>0.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>19.850</td>
<td>0.447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>21.190</td>
<td>0.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>21.980</td>
<td>0.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.260</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>25.390</td>
<td>0.351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>26.850</td>
<td>0.332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>28.120</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>28.780</td>
<td>0.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>30.780</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>33.180</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>35.920</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>38.320</td>
<td>0.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>39.100</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>40.990</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>41.780</td>
<td>0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>45.500</td>
<td>0.199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Case for CO\(_2\) geological storage - site Bzovík
Central Slovakia Volcanic Area

Ľ. Kucharíč

State Geological Institute of Dionyz Stur, Mlynská dolina 1, Bratislava

Abstract. Regional aquifers in which is hidden the biggest CO\(_2\) storage potential are covered by younger geological units, often varied genesis and therefore their identification necessitates thorough study of older materials. Such type of locality has been found in South part of Slovakia, where The Central Slovakia Neovolcanics form surface and subsurface feature of landscape. Regional gravity mapping carried out in the sixties of the last century revealed a depression structure in the fundament of Neovolcanics complex. Consecutive deep borehole confirmed this buried structure. Due to convenient lithological development as well as structural - tectonic features, this locality was considered and studied as the possible place for CO\(_2\) storage. A tentative storage capacity calculation was performed, with variable approaches and it was assigned at negative and positive factors in the case CO\(_2\) storage site construction. However it is clear, that in such case this locality in question will have to be suggested to further particular investigation, its applicability is emphasized by proximity of big CO\(_2\) source – the gas transform station Veľké Zlievce, which emissions could be stored here.

Key words: The Central Slovakian Neovolcanic Field, Bzovík Depression, CO\(_2\) storage, capacity calculation, possible scenario discussion

1. Introduction

CO\(_2\) storage conception in geological complexes took place throughout of the last decade in the sphere of carbon dioxide abatement. In term of actual knowledge applicable almost in the whole world, the biggest potential capacity for CO\(_2\) storage is in the deep saline aquifers (Chadwick, et al., 2008). On the other hand, number of credible knowledge about these objects is inadequate and therefore a space for targeted investigation is widely open. However from the lithological point of view, as reservoirs have been almost in any case considered various facies of sandstones in the suitable depths that satisfy supercritical state of this gas, needed for safety and permanent storage. Variability in geological pattern of some areas offers an opportunity to consider as storage site rocks with another geochemical background e.g. carbonates, or not sedimentary (or partly sedimentary) rocks. The most suitable places for CO\(_2\) storage in Slovakia are the marginal, smaller basins of the main or large Pannonian basin. We would like to mention the “so called” hidden possible reservoir, covered by younger geological formations presented by both, sedimentary and volcanic rocks. (Fig.1).

Such site has been found out after the reviewing of general geological pattern of Slovakia with older data of geophysical methods and deep structural boreholes as well. This site is situated in the central part of Slovakia, its south zone. There in the vicinity of village Bzovík, a complex of volcanic- sedimentary formation outcrops on the surface (Neogeneous age). Its fundament is created by older stratigraphical units. The object exhibits structural - morphological feature that can be utilized for purpose of our investigation.

2. Geological and Geophysical background

The studied area belongs from geomorphologic point of view to Krupinská upland zone. The density of settlement is not so high – there is only one township site (Krupina) in the framework of Krupina zone. Larger towns are situated at 30 – 50 km to the North – Zvolen, Banská Bystrica.

The locality is geologically created by complex of volcano sedimentary formation which represents part of Inner Molasse in the West Carpathians. Situation of locality is depicted on the Fig. 1.

Sedimentary and volcano-sedimentary complex consists of following geological units:

The early Molasse stage is dated to the kiscel and egerian units, which are created by rocks of Buda Paleogene. While the older kiscel is represented on the base by various facies sandstones and sands with intercalation fine – grained conglomerates overburden layers are created by marlaceous silts (schliers) and/or marly claystones. The maximum thickness of this complex is less than 300 m.
Overlying Egerian unit reaches thickness 600 – 700 m. Due to its petrographical filling can be considered as suitable trap, because consists of mostly marly silts, siltstones and clays (schliers). Gravels and conglomerates are presented only in the base of this unit. Tuffits layers are occasionally (Vass, et al 1979).

The main Molasse stage took time from Eggenburgian to Badenian when main Molasse volcanism took place. The intensive volcanic activity has been learned in the whole scale of Badenian unit. Volcanism had mostly intermediary character – various types of andesites, which are very strongly brecciated. Brecciation reached subsurficial level. Besides of this, volcanoclastic sediments are very porous and permeable.

Pre-volcanic basement in the area is created by Mesozoic rocks (mostly carbonates – limestones and dolomites), which are underlying by crystalline rocks of the Veporicum unit (hybrid granitoids, crystalline schists, phyllits).

Pre-Tertiary fundament is commonly created by the Mesozoic, Late Paleozoic complexes and crystalline rocks. Main portion of Mesozoic rocks can be considered as a suitable environment for CO2 storage (carbonates). These belong to Late, or Middle Triassic period. Lower Triassic complex is typically present in the shaly facieses, which is practically impermeable horizon for underground water circulation. Similarly or the same characteristics have been learned in the Permian and Crystalline complexes (Vass, et al 1979).

The intensive geophysical investigation targeted at fundament of neovolcanic complex from the old works (Fusán, et. al. 1969), but also from latest ones (Panáček, et al. 1993) has brought several important results that were utilized for this purpose.

Neovolcanic complex itself is a very variegated package of rocks with equally variegated physical properties. The anomaly in question is known since the first basic investigations in the area of Central Slovakian Neovolcanics (Fig. 2). The variations in the density parameter are quite remarkable, but for interpretation purposes an average volume density of this package is usually estimated on the value of 2, 2 g/cm³. On the contrary, density of fundament is assign at the value of 2, 67 g/ cm³. That is a reason why the map of Bouguer’s anomalies with above mentioned density has been chosen for depicting of anomaly. (Grand, in Kubeš, et al. 2001). On this base is clear, that the origin of anomaly is not in the volcanic complex, but related to underlying rocks packages – Pre Tertiary basement. A deep structural borehole (GK 4) was drilled for verification of this noticeable anomaly in the late sixties (Konečný, V., et al. 1970) on its area.
Fig. 2 Gravity map of Slovakia (Bouguer’s anomalies) – reflect of the Bzovík depression (marked area) in the gravity field – vol. dens. 2.2 g/cm³ (processed on the base of Grand, et al. 2001)
3. Results from GK 4 well and their importance for CO$_2$ storage

The simplified geological profile of the borehole is presented on the Fig. 3.

The uppermost volcano sedimentary unit reaches thickness of almost 1 000 meters. Its typical feature is variability of petrographical filling (tuffs, agglomerates, and tuffits). It is needed to pay attention on its hydrogeological properties, because of its uppermost position in the geological section. This package is defined as a complex possessing mostly intergranular and scarcely joint permeability (in the vicinity of huge faults structures only). Quantity of springs and its yield is not so high. The volcanic complex has a complicated groundwater circuit. It is possible to delineate a shallow subsurface groundwater circuit within the area of Neogene volcanic bound to the cover units as well as to the zone of increased jointing, in which the regimen is distinctly influenced by the climatic conditions. A part of groundwater circulates down to 100–200 m, even deeper, where the discharge regime of the structure reaches equilibrium. The results from extensive drilling in the area of the Krupinská planina Plateau show that the groundwater sources with the greatest yields occur where the tectonic unrest played an important role, i.e. in the lower sections of streams. If the permeability of a rock body is enhanced by tectonic, the yields from wells reach 20 to 30 l/sec at a number of places. The permeability of the volcanic rocks shows some zoning. Due to weathering and to the filling of pores with weathering products the permeability of the upper part of volcanic complex, down to a depth of 30–50 m, is lower. As a result, most wells have the yields below 5.0 l/sec. The Neogene volcanic region is poor in springs. Anyway, results from the deeper part of this complex are not so representative (Malík, et al. 2000). This package is underlying by lower Paleogene unit, represented by conglomerates, sandstones and less claystones. These rocks possess joint permeability. An intensive brecciation has been observed (Polák, 1978) in this unit. The hanging wall is developed in the form of clays which create the horizon with thickness about 300 m. This layer can be serving as a suitable trap for CO$_2$.

The lowermost part of the borehole is created by the Mesozoic carbonates complex. The top of this unit is created by package of marl – limestones, marls, shales which is considered as equivalent of Gossau Cretaceous. Subject of block of carbonates (limestones, dolomites) perhaps belongs to the Middle and Late Triassic. Might be it has suitable environment for CO$_2$ storage, due to intensive fracturing.

Thickness of the Triassic carbonates is over 300 m. The drilling was stopped in these sequences after reaching of dept 2 108 m. On the base of general geological situation in this locality is presumable that the Permian unit or crystalline rocks should be presented in the footwall of CO$_2$ reservoir.

The temperature on the bottom of the borehole is 80$^\circ$C. The threshold of 31.1 °C for supercritical state achievement is registered in the depth 500 m. The porosity of the carbonates is about 5% (Biela, 1978). Results of well logging were not available in that time. According to Polák, (1978) carbonates are sandy and again intensive brecciated. Data regarding permeability are missing. It means that joint permeability should be important positive input for storage purposes.

If we summarize the knowledge, obtained from the borehole, we can considerate, that the deep, although point data have been received, which might be granted potential structure for CO$_2$ storage. From the borehole section is obvious, that the reservoir part consist of the Mesozoic packet and lower part of Paleogene. Clayey horizon should be serving as an effective seal. This structure can be considered as suitable CO$_2$ storage site if its potential estimated storage capacity is sufficient for adequate absorption of stored CO$_2$ in relation to neighboring CO$_2$ emitters and transport facilities.

4. Consideration for storage site extension

A structural – tectonic map of the studied area is given on the Fig. 4. The shown interpretation resulted from gravity, magnetic and geoelectric measurements, followed up with drilling results. It is obvious that remarkable depression structure in the Pre Tertiary basement has been revealed. The structure is prolonged in the NNE – SSW direction and almost from the all sites is circumscribed by elevation of fundament. Average altitudes of the terrain above see level is about 400 – 450 meters. It means, that isoline (isohyps) of basement with the depth 600 m is sufficient criteria for reservoir extension assessment, regarding super – critical temperature achievement (these areas are depicted on the Fig. 4 by blue color.) The studied object can be considered as a local (?) aquifer.

Krupinská depression is bounded by very expressive faults with directions NNE – SSW. From the genetic
Fig. 4 Structural – tectonic map with depth of pre – Tertiary basement (adapted after Konečný, et al., 1988)
Explanation: 1 – faults, 2 – isohyps of basement bellow sea level, 3 – probable storage site extension (plan), 4 – cross section line, 5 – the borehole altitude/basement depth
viewpoint it had been created before volcanic event and shaped during volcanic activity. There is a premise (Konečný, et al. 1998) that faults were active even after finishing volcanic phase. Several faults with direction NW – SE slice the fundament into partial blocks. These geological phenomena are very important input for CO₂ storage from. The steepest fault boundary is toward Krupina town (the Ladzianska elevation). Equally boundary to the North is expressive (the Ladzianska elevation). Demarcation to the East is not so steep (Šahanská elevation), while the Southern part is practically open. An additional investigation about the packing of these faults is needed, because particular information about wishing colmatage is not available, equally as lithological characteristic neighboring rocks.

Overburden cap rocks should be sufficient for trapping, but in any case is necessary to check tectonic situation, because the fault tectonics was active even after the end of volcanic activity (Konečný, et al. 1998).

Beside of this only physical trapping was assumed, even though is obvious that due to carbonate composition of reservoir rocks a chemical trapping certainly will play substantial role by potential storage of CO₂ in increasing storage site capacity.

An objective geological section is depicted on the Fig. 5. Due to borehole result the Mesozoic carbonate body filled the bottom of depression. The depth of carbonate footwall is unknown and can vary within interval of several hundreds meters. The rocks complex is created mostly by dolomites often brecciated, with marly limestones intercalation. This sedimentary unit has Middle and Upper Triassic age (Polák, 1978). The top of carbonate complex is developed in the form of sandy limestones which age is considered as Jurassic. (this level is not shown on Fig. 5 due to small thickness). The depicted Triassic carbonate complex can be suitable reservoir for CO₂ storage purposes.

In the Paleogene base (the Buda Paleogene) is developed huge complex of detritus carbonates rocks – conglomerates, breccias, sandstones and sandy limestone with total thickness of about 500 m (Vass, et al. 1979). This sedimentary level is another potentially convenient target for CO₂ sequestration. We suppose that the petrographical heterogeneity is very high as well as probable lens development, what are factors that decrease theoretical efficacy of complex in question, originally derive from its immense thickness. The uppermost part of Paleogene is presented by the clay complex with thickness of 300 m in the borehole, which could be served as suitable sealing subject. Its integrity development in the whole area from thickness and petrographical point of view is the imperative condition for existence of CO₂ storage side.

The volcanic – sedimentary complex, which covers the above described sedimentary succession, according to our present-day knowledge, has not substantial meaning for sequestration purposes.

This object is a typical example of structure which can be considered as suitable storage site from the first, initial estimation. Many important data are missing that is a reason, why the calculation volume was accomplished by volumetric approach with the simple formula given by Brook, et al., (2003). In the other words – it is useless to estimate unknown needed parameter, controlled by effort to achieve maximum precise result. There are proves from practice, that real construction, or calculation is facilitated only in these cases, when tangible results from drilling, or other works are available (Würdemann, 2008).

5. Discussion

The main goal of this part of contribution is to point at some ambiguity and “weakly or not certain places” that influence at our consideration.

- **Capacity calculation**

The data listed in the Tab. 1 assign that fact, that lesser final result dispersion is achieved by mistakes in geometrical factor assessment, however relative interpretation mistake can reach level far above 100%. Similarly CO₂ density in spite of possible variation does not influence final result in a broad scale. The most significant parameters for final capacity calculation ambiguity are porosity assessment and particularly sweep coefficient. Even in cases, when porosity values are available, often scarcely represent all variations caused by heterogeneity of reservoir horizon. The dominant parameter is though sweep coefficient. Value of this is incorporated to the process of capacity calculation, but without practical knowledge, or at least practical data from similar geological units this value is “so called” specialist’s estimation, but sometimes seemed to be from the sphere of fantasy. Presented Tab. 1 is clear evidence of this fact. Differences among calculated capacities regarding this “elective” value can be source of serious incorrect evaluation. In our event is interesting factor, that despite of extremely decreased calculated storage capacity - var. 4 in comparison with initial calculation, this amount is still in the scale, which enables the studied case object in question as potential storage site consider. This last calculation (var. 4) was driven on the base of results achieved by May, et al. (2005), when for regional aquifers the coefficient value between 2% – 8% has been utilized.

In this case scarcely may be effective local storage efficiency (Chadwick, et al. 2008), although it is obvious that the object represents “local” structure, due to experience, that heterogeneity in majority similar geological units of the Western Carpathians is very high.

In term of resource pyramids (McCabe, 1988) our position on this locality is on the bottom part of this pyramid representing “theoretical capacity” only.

- **Faults role**

CO₂ leakage along faults will have three behaviors: upward migration from the storage formation along a fault, lateral movement from the fault into permeable layers, and a continued but attenuated CO₂ flux along the fault above the layers (Chang, et al. 2008).

If typical structural anticline hydrocarbon trap is developed with sufficient thick and impermeable containment, carbon dioxide is gradually concentrated per consequence of its buoyancy within the top of structure (vault dome ore arch), which is natural seal.
This presented type of depression had been originated by strike slip faults and probably represents pull–apart type of basin, what qualifies to suppose synform development. The dip and character of internal filling of fault structure are dominant factor by consideration about possibility leakages creation, especially in the marginal part of basin, which are located on the high level, than depression center. This scenario is described by Kumar (2004) and Ozah et al., (2005). They have shown small effectiveness of residual phase trapping using “inject low and let rise” strategy. When CO₂ is injected low in the aquifer buoyancy forces drive the injected CO₂ upward, since CO₂ is less dense than brine the water in the reservoir. As it rises, a residual phase trapped by capillary forces is left behind.

This is a convenient event, because carbon dioxide with its buoyancy is concentrated in these upper parts of storage site and in the course of injection and even after closing storage site, developed pressure can destructive influence marginal faults and thereby contribute to possible leakages paths creation.

This is a question for the future, but from contemporary position seems to be feasible a manner, proposed by Burton and Bryant (2007). An alternative approach is to dissolve the CO₂ into brine at the surface, then inject the saturated brine into deep subsurface formations. The CO₂-laden brine is slightly denser than brine containing without CO₂, so ensuring the complete dissolution of all CO₂ into brine at the surface prior to injection will eliminate the risk of buoyancy-driven leakage. It is matter of course that other faults (in the inner part of depression) that taken place on this locality should be in detail investigated.

- **Lithological constrains**

An assumption of continual suitable rocks complexes development does not need to by valid in the whole area, especially in the marginal part of locality. Buda Paleogene can be typical example in this locality, because it is representing of the lagunar, hypersaline environment (Vass, et al. 1979), where development rock complex can exhibit inhomogeneities in vertical and horizontal plane. It is very important fact, regarding trapping rocks development, where effect of possible tectonic influence is additional negative feature. In spite of this fact, we suppose, that the uppermost Paleogene rocks complex - clays with proven thickness almost 300 m should be sufficient impervious environment effective seal. Higher lying volcanic - sedimentary complex consists of several aquiclude members (tuffs, lavas stream), but due to its heterogeneous development heterogeneity do not represent reliable trapping subject.
Other important feature consists in this, that aquifer collector beside of hydro dynamical sequestration can contribute to storage by mineral sequestration manner, namely in the cases, when is partly, or completely created by carbonate minerals. This is event of locality in question, because we suppose that carbonate rocks (limestones and dolomites) create main portion of space which should be serve as reservoir horizon.

6. Conclusion

The example of possible CO₂ storage site, hidden beneath volcano sedimentary cover in the Slovak republic, has been presented in this contribution. The depression in the fundament of young volcanic complex exhibits features (structural-tectonic, lithological, hydrogeological, suitable depths and temperatures, sufficient tentative capacity calculation) following that is possible to qualify it as suitable CO₂ storage site. Even in the most pessimistic estimation storage capacity, this object could be sufficient for CO₂ storage aimed at the big source of carbon dioxide.

The location of the area regarding population is suitable; on the other hand, big sources of CO₂ are not available in the nearby vicinity of this area. The main branch of transit gas pipeline is about 15 km to the SE of this area. This emitter with annual production 520 kT (NAPL 2006) can supply possible storage site during at least 20 years even by the negative capacity calculation approach, what is in concordance with contemporary relations in this field. At present time does not being any storage site in the world, where annual amount of stored CO₂ remarkably exceeds limit of 1 Mt and planned life time of reservoir does not exceed 30 years. It is obvious, that our initial consideration can be changed, after carrying out of targeted additional investigation.

It is necessary to emphasize, that chemical trapping was not taken into account, and however it is clear that due to carbonate content of reservoir can influence storage capacity in positive direction.

The area around of reservoir is well as exchange gas distribution, which overall annual CO₂ production exceeds value 1,5 mil tons

From this point of view the locality in question can be a good potential target for possible CO₂ storage, on condition, that supplementary tangible geological works will be realized.

Acknowledgement

The work has been accomplished in the framework of EU project GeoCapacity, founded by the 6FP. Author is much obliged to prof. Georgi Georgiev from Kliment Ochridsky University of Sofia for reviewing text and valuable comments.

References

Wurdemann, H., 2008: News from the Ketzin storage site. The first onshore CO₂ storage project of Europe. CO₂SINK Project. Presentation at the annual CO₂net Seminar in Warsaw, April, 22-24.
General instructions

The Editorial Board of the Geological Survey of Slovak Republic – Dionýz Štúr Publishers accepts manuscripts in correct English. The papers that do not have sufficient accuracy in language level will be submitted back for language correction.

The manuscript should be addressed to the Chief Editor or the Managing Editor.

Contact address:
State Geological Institute of Dionys Stur – Dionys Stur Publishers, Mlynská dolina 1, 817 04 Bratislava, Slovak Republic
E-mail address: alena.klukanova@geology.sk
gs@zuzulogic.sk
www.geology.sk

The Editorial Board accepts or refuses a manuscript with regard to the reviewer’s opinion. The author is informed of the refusal within 34 days from the decision of the Editorial Board. Accepted manuscript is prepared for publication in an appropriate issue of the Magazine. The author and the publisher enter a contract establishing the rights and duties of both parties regarding the preparation and printing, until the time of publishing of the paper.

Text layout

The manuscript should be arranged as follows: Title of the paper, Full name of the author(s), Number of Supplements (in brackets below the title, e.g. 5 figs., 4 tabs.), Abstract (max. 30 lines presenting principal results) – Key Words – Introduction – Text – Conclusions – Acknowledgements – Appendix – References – Table and Figure Captions – Tables – Figures. The editorial board recommends to show a localization scheme at the beginning of the article.

The title should be as short as possible, but informative, comprehensive and correlative. In a footnote on the first page, name the author(s), as well as his (her) professional or private address.

The text of the paper should be typographically divided. For the purpose of typography, the author may use a hierarchic division of chapters and sub-chapters, using numbers with their titles. The editorial board reserves the right to reject the type according to generally accepted rules even if the author has not noticed it.

Names of cited authors in the text are written without first names and family names, e.g. A. Štúr, 1868; the names of co-authors are divided (e.g. Andrusov & Bystricky, 1973). The first name(s) is followed by a comma before the publication year. If there are more authors, the first one, or the first two only are cited, adding et al., and publication year.

Mathematical and physical symbols

Mathematical and physical symbols are to be set in other type (e.g. Greek letters, e.g., \( \sigma \), 105 \( q \)). The symbols should be properly spaced, e.g. 60 %, 105 \( q \). Each used symbol must also appear on the margin of the text, if possible on both sides.

Tables and figures

Tables should be accepted in a size of up to A4, numbered in the same way as in a text.

Graphs, sketches, profiles and maps must be always drawn separately. High-quality copies are accepted as well. Captions should be typed outside. Large-size supplements are accepted only exceptionally. Photographs intended for publishing must be sharp, contrast, on shiny paper. High quality photographs will only be accepted depending on the judgement of the technical editors.

If a supplement is delivered in a digital form the following formats will be accepted: * in.d, * in.d, * fig, * eps, * jpg, * ps, * gif, * tif, * pcx. Other formats are to be consulted with the editors.

References

A few references should be listed in alphabetical and chronological order according to arrangement in the text (and not in an alphabetical list of all references cited).


References should be listed in alphabetical order according to arrangement in the text (and not in an alphabetical list of all references cited).


Proofs

The translator as well as the author(s) are obliged to correct the errors which are due to typographic arrangements. The final proofs are sent to author(s) as well as to the translator. The second proof is provided only to the editorial office. It will be sent to authors upon request.

The proofs must be marked clearly and intelligibly, to avoid further errors and doubts. Common typographic symbols are to be used, and meaning of which will be provided by the editorial office. Each used symbol must also appear on the margin of the text, if possible on the same line where the error occurred. The deadlines and conditions for proof-reading shall be indicated in the contract.

Final remarks

These instructions are obligatory to all authors. Exceptions may be permitted by the Editorial Board or the managing editor. Manuscripts not complying with these instructions shall be returned to the authors.

1. Editorial Board reserves the right to publish preferably invited manuscripts, by the authors or the editorial office.
2. Sessions of Editorial Board – four times a year and closing dates for individual volumes will be on every 31st day of March, June, September and December.
3. To refer to one Magazine please use the following abbreviations: Slovak Geol. Mag., vol. xx, no. xx. Bratislava D. Štúr. Publ. ISSN 1335-055X.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uliasz-Bocheńczyk, A., Mokrzycki, E.: CO2 sequestration with the use of fly ash from hard coal and lignite combustion</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarkowski, R., Barabasz, W., Krölík, W. and Uliasz-Misiak, B.: Preliminary results of the microbiological research on CO2 natural exhalations executed for geobimonitoring needs</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hladík, V., Kolejka, V., Lojka, R., Folt, P. and Vácha, D.: CO2 emissions and geological storage possibilities in the Czech Republic</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgiev, G.: CO2 Emissions and Geological Storage Options in Bulgaria</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radvaneč, M., Tupčík, E., Čechovská, K., Deroč, J. and Kucharič, E.: Permanent disposal of CO2 industrial emission via artificial carbonatization of metaperidotite, metawehrlite and metawebsterite: an experimental study</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kucharič, E.: Case for CO2 geological storage - site Bzovík, Central Slovakia Volcanic Area</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hladík, V.: Foreword</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>